TESTING MONO-VS. MULTIFRACTAL WITH BOOTSTRAPPED WAVELET LEADERS

Herwig Wendt, Patrice Abry

Physics Lab., CNRS, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France. Herwig.Wendt@ens-lyon.fr, Patrice.Abry@ens-lyon.fr, www.ens-lyon.fr/PHYSIQUE

SUMMARY

In many applications where data possess scaling properties, it is of importance to decide whether the data are better modelled with **mono- or multifractal** processes. However, so far no appropriate test is available. For this purpose, we propose here to use a **bootstrap test procedure** to decide whether the second cumulant of the log of the wavelet coefficients or wavelet Leaders of the data is zero. We study the p-value and the power of the tests through numerical simulation, using synthetic multifractal processes, and end up with a **powerful procedure for practically discriminating mono- vs. multifractal processes**.

MULTIFRACTAL, LEADERS & CUMULANTS

WAVELET LEADERS

 $X(t), t \in [0, n)$ - Process under analysis $d_X(j, k) = \langle \psi_{j,k} | X \rangle$ - Discrete wavelet transform (DWT) of X

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LOG-CUMULANTS} \\ \mathbb{E}|L_X(j,\cdot)|^q &= F_q |2^j|^{\zeta(q)} \\ \ln \mathbb{E}e^{q\ln|L_X(j,\cdot)|} &= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} C_p^j \frac{q^p}{p!} = \ln F_q + \zeta(q) \ln 2^j \end{aligned}$$





BOOTSTRAP HYPOTHESIS TEST

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Null Hypothesis:} & H_{\rm null}: c_{2,\rm null} \equiv 0 \\ \mbox{Test Statistic:} & T = c_2 - c_{2,\rm null} \\ \mbox{Null Distribution:} & F_{\rm null} = \Pr(T \leq t | H_{\rm null}) \mbox{ (quantiles: } t_{\alpha}) \\ \mbox{(1-2\alpha) Test:} & d = \begin{cases} 1 & \mbox{if } t \notin [t_{\alpha}, t_{1-\alpha}] \\ 0 & \mbox{otherwise} \end{cases}$



Nonparametric Bootstrap: Estimate F_{null} from single sample X. 1. At each scale j, draw B bootstrap resamples blockwise, with replacement, from sample of original Leaders (Block length: Λ): $\frac{\text{Repeat } B \text{ times:}}{\text{draw blockwise}} \{L_X(j,1), \dots, L_X(j,n_j)\} \rightarrow \text{with replacement} \rightarrow \{L_X^{*(1)}(j,\cdot), \dots, L_X^{*(n_j)}(j,\cdot)\}$

2. Calculate, for each of the *B* resamples, and for each scale *j*: $\hat{C}_2^{j*(b)} \xrightarrow{\text{linear fit}} \hat{c}_2^{*(b)}, \quad \hat{t}^{*(b)} = \hat{c}_2^{*(b)} - c_{2,\text{null}}, \quad b = 1, \cdots, B$

3. Calculate approximate null distribution: $\hat{F}_{\text{null}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{b=1}^{B} I(t^{*(b)} \leq \tau)$

4. Perform test using the approximate α -quantiles $\hat{t}_{1-\alpha}^*$, \hat{t}_{α}^* of $\hat{F}_{\text{null}}(\tau)$:

MONTE CARLO SIMULATION AND RESULTS

Fractional Brownian Motion (FBM): Gaussian mono-fractal $\zeta(q) = qH$ for $q \in (-\infty, \infty)$ Multifractal Random Walk (MRW): non Gaussian multi-fractal $\zeta(q) = (H - c_2)q + c_2q^2/2$ for $q \in \left[-\sqrt{2/|c_2|}, \sqrt{2/|c_2|}\right]$

$H_{\rm FBM} = 0.8$	$N_{\rm MC} = 1000$	Daubechies Wavelet $L = 6$
$H_{\text{MDW}} = \{0.79 \cdots 0.72\}$	$n_1 = 2^{12}$	Bootstrap $B = 200$





- Tests based on Coefficients d_X :
- -Significance α closer to nominal value
- Tests based on Leaders L_X :
- –Significantly larger power β
- -Maintain large power for c_2 close to zero
- \Rightarrow Powerful and reliable test of monofractal vs. multifractal
- Perspectives: Improved test statistics, advanced bootstrap tests (e.g. pivoting), parametric bootstrap, and tests on c_3 .

REFERENCES

[1] S. Jaffard, B. Lashermes and P. Abry, *Wavelet leaders in multifractal analysis*, in *Wavelet Analysis and Applications*, 2005, University of Macau, China.

[2] A.C. Davison and D.V. Hinkley, *Bootstrap methods and their application*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.