

Logical foundations for reasoning about transformations of knowledge bases

Mohamed Chaabani Rachid Echahed Martin Strecker

July 23, 2013

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Auxiliary definitions and lemmas	3
3	Cardinalities of sets; finite and infinite sets	12
4	Syntax of \mathcal{ALCQ}	13
5	Treatment of variables, in particular bound variables	15
6	Semantics of \mathcal{ALCQ}	17
7	Programming language	19
8	Semantics (Proofs)	21
9	Treatment of variables (Proofs)	25
10	Hoare logic where the conditions of the Hoare triples are DL formulae	30
11	Explicit substitutions	35
11.1	Functions used in termination arguments	35
11.2	Moving substitutions further downwards	37
12	Explicit substitutions (Proofs)	40
12.1	Termination of pushing substitutions	40
12.2	Structural correctness of pushing substitutions	44
12.3	Semantics-preservation of pushing substitutions	44

Abstract

This is the formalization of a method of graph transformations. Transformations are expressed by an imperative programming language which is non-standard in the sense that it features conditions (in loops and selection statements) that are description logic (DL) formulas, and a non-deterministic assignment statement (a choice operator given by a DL formula). We sketch an operational semantics of the proposed programming language and then develop a matching Hoare calculus whose pre- and post-conditions are again DL formulas. A major difficulty resides in showing that the formulas generated when calculating weakest preconditions remain within the chosen DL fragment. In particular, this concerns substitutions whose result is not directly representable. We therefore explicitly add substitution as a constructor of the logic and show how it can be eliminated by an interleaving with the rules of a traditional tableau calculus.

1 Introduction

This is the formal proof document accompanying a shorter informal description [1].

The formalization is still ongoing, the present document is only a dump of the Isabelle theories, no major effort has been made to edit them. The outline is as follows:

- Sections 2 and 3 contain basic material, but are without deeper interest and should only be consulted for reference.
- Section 4 is the definition of the abstract syntax of the description logic \mathcal{ALCQ} .
- Section 5 contains definitions pertaining to variables, in particular bound variables, which are represented with de Bruijn indices, and functions for converting formulas to prenex normal form. Section 9 contains correspondings proofs.
- Section 6 is the definition of the semantics of the description logic \mathcal{ALCQ} , Section 8 contains corresponding proofs.
- Section 11 introduces operations for handling explicit substitutions, in particular eliminating them by pushing them down into constructors of the logic. Section 12 contains corresponding proofs, in particular showing that this elimination procedure terminates and is semantics preserving.
- Section 7 introduces the programming language for transforming graph structures.

- Section 10 is the definition of a Hoare logic for reasoning about these programs. This section contains a weakest-precondition calculus and shows the soundness of this calculus wrt. the semantics.

This formalization does not yet include a formalization of the tableau procedure described in Section 5 of [1]. We plan to adapt the method of [2].

2 Auxiliary definitions and lemmas

```

lemma mp-obj [simp]:  $(A \wedge (A \rightarrow B)) = (A \wedge B)$ 
by blast
lemma mp-obj2 [simp]:  $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge A) = (A \wedge B)$ 
by blast

— Absorption rules
lemmas absorb = Un-Int-distrib Un-Int-distrib2 Int-absorb1 Int-absorb2 Un-upper1
Un-upper2

```

```

lemma dom-empty-map-empty [simp]:  $(\text{dom } m = \{\}) = (m = \text{empty})$ 
by simp

```

```

lemma map-le-implies-ran-le:  $m \subseteq_m m' \implies \text{ran } m \subseteq \text{ran } m'$ 
by (fastforce simp add: dom-def ran-def map-le-def)

```

```

lemma image-dom:  $m ` \text{dom } m = \text{Some} ` (\text{ran } m)$ 
by (auto simp add: image-def dom-def ran-def)

```

```

lemma image-dom-ran:  $(\text{the} \circ m) ` \text{dom } m = \text{ran } m$ 
by (auto simp add: dom-def ran-def image-def)

```

```

lemma finite-dom-ran:  $\text{finite} (\text{dom } m) \implies \text{finite} (\text{ran } m)$ 
by (simp add: image-dom-ran [THEN sym])

```

```

lemma Some-the-map [simp]:  $x \in \text{dom } m \implies \text{Some} (\text{the} (m x)) = m x$ 
by (clarify simp add: dom-def)

```

```

lemma ran-map-upd-notin-dom:  $a \notin \text{dom } m \implies \text{ran} (m(a \mapsto b)) = \text{ran } m \cup \{b\}$ 
by auto

```

```

lemma ran-map-upd-subset:  $\text{ran} (m(a \mapsto b)) \subseteq \text{ran } m \cup \{b\}$ 
by (auto simp add: ran-def)

```

```

lemma image-fun-upd:
 $(m ` ((f(x:=y)) e)) = (\text{if } e = x \text{ then } m ` y \text{ else } m ` (f e))$ 

```

```
by (clarsimp simp add: fun-upd-def image-def)
```

definition

```
— injective map  
inj-map :: ('a ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ bool where  
inj-map m == inj-on m (dom m)
```

```
lemma inj-map-empty [simp]: inj-map empty  
by (simp add: inj-map-def)
```

```
lemma subset-inj-map: [ inj-map m; m' ⊆m m ] ⇒ inj-map m'  
apply (frule map-le-implies-dom-le)  
apply (simp add: inj-map-def map-le-def inj-on-def)  
apply blast  
done
```

```
lemma inj-on-the: inj-map gm ⇒ inj-on (the o gm) (dom gm)  
apply (clarsimp simp add: inj-map-def inj-on-def)  
apply (rename-tac x y v)  
apply (drule-tac x=x in bspec) apply fastforce  
apply (drule-tac x=y in bspec) apply fastforce  
apply simp  
done
```

```
lemma inj-on-map-upd:  
a ∉ dom m ⇒ inj-on (m(a ↦ b)) (dom m) = inj-on m (dom m)  
apply (rule iffI)  
  
apply (clarsimp simp add: inj-on-def)  
apply (drule-tac bspec) prefer 2  
apply (drule-tac bspec) prefer 2  
apply (drule mp) prefer 2  
apply assumption  
apply (auto simp add: inj-on-def)  
done
```

```
lemma inj-map-map-upd: a ∉ dom m ⇒ inj-map (m(a ↦ b)) = (b ∉ ran m ∧  
inj-map m)  
by (simp add: inj-map-def inj-on-map-upd image-dom) auto
```

definition

```
inv-m :: ('a ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a option) where  
inv-m m == λ y. if (y ∈ ran m) then Some (SOME x. m x = Some y) else  
None
```

```

lemma inv-m-empty [simp]: inv-m empty = empty
by (simp add: inv-m-def)

lemma dom-inv-m [simp]: dom (inv-m m) = ran m
by (simp add: inv-m-def ran-def dom-def)

lemma ran-inv-m [simp]: ran (inv-m m) ⊆ dom m
by (simp add: inv-m-def ran-def dom-def) (fast intro: someI2)

lemma restrict-map-le [simp]: m |` A ⊆m m
by (simp add: map-le-def)

lemma restrict-map-le-in-dom: [m ⊆m m'; dom m ⊆ A] ⇒ m ⊆m m' |` A
by (fastforce simp add: map-le-def)

lemma restrict-map-Some: ((m |` A) x = Some y) = (m x = Some y ∧ x ∈ A)
by (simp add: restrict-map-def)

lemma inv-m-map-upd: [a ∉ dom m; inj-map (m(a ↦ b))] ⇒
  inv-m (m(a ↦ b)) = (inv-m m)(b ↦ a)
apply (simp add: inv-m-def ran-map-upd-notin-dom)
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply (intro allI impI conjI)

apply (simp add: inj-map-map-upd)
defer
apply (simp add: inj-map-map-upd)
apply (rule some-equality) apply simp apply (simp add: ran-def)

apply (rule-tac f=Eps in arg-cong)
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply auto
done

lemma o-m-inv-m-reduce:
  [a ∉ dom m2; inj-map (m2(a ↦ b))] ⇒
  m1 ∘m (inv-m (m2(a ↦ b))) = (m1 ∘m inv-m m2)(b := m1 a)
apply (simp add: inv-m-map-upd)
apply (simp add: map-comp-def)
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
done

lemma restrict-map-le-eq: ((m |` A) ⊆m (m |` B)) = (dom m ∩ A ⊆ B)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (frule map-le-implies-dom-le) apply (fastforce simp only: dom-restrict)

```

```

apply (simp add: map-le-def) apply (fastforce simp add: restrict-map-def)
done

lemma restrict-map-dom [simp]:  $m \subseteq_m m' \implies m' |` (\text{dom } m) = m$ 
apply (rule map-le-antisym)
apply (simp add: restrict-map-def map-le-def dom-def)
apply (erule restrict-map-le-in-dom) apply simp
done

lemma restrict-map-dom-subset:  $\text{dom } m \subseteq A \implies m |` A = m$ 
apply (rule map-le-antisym)
apply simp
apply (simp add: restrict-map-le-in-dom)
done

lemma ran-restrict-iff:  $(y \in \text{ran} (m |` A)) = (\exists x \in A. m x = \text{Some } y)$ 
apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule ran-restrictD)
apply (auto simp add: ran-def restrict-map-def)
done

lemma Field-insert [simp]:  $\text{Field} (\text{insert} (a, a') A) = \{a, a'\} \cup \text{Field } A$ 
by (fastforce simp add: Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric])

lemma finite-Image:  $\text{finite} (\text{Field } R) \implies \text{finite} (R `` S)$ 
apply (rule finite-subset [where B=Range R])
apply (auto simp add: Field-def)
done

lemma Image-Field-subset [simp]:  $(R `` S) \subseteq \text{Field } R$ 
by (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma Field-converse [simp]:  $\text{Field} (R ^{-1}) = \text{Field } R$ 
by (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma Field-Un:  $\text{Field} (R \cup S) = (\text{Field } R \cup \text{Field } S)$ 
by (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma Field-prod [simp]:  $\text{Field} (A \times A) = A$ 
by (fastforce simp add: Field-def)

lemma Field-product-subset:  $(A \subseteq B \times B) = (\text{Field } A \subseteq B)$ 
by (fastforce simp add: Field-def)

lemma Field-Diff-subseteq:  $\text{Field} (R - S) \subseteq \text{Field } R$ 
by (auto simp add: Field-def)

```

```

lemma in-Field:  $(a, b) \in R \implies \{a, b\} \subseteq \text{Field } R$ 
by (fastforce simp add: Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric])

lemma converse-empty-set [simp]:  $\{\}^{-1} = \{\}$ 
by (simp add: converse-unfold)

lemma converse-insert:  $(\text{insert } (x, y) R)^{-1} = \text{insert } (y, x) (R^{-1})$ 
by (unfold insert-def) (auto simp add: converse-Un)

lemma converse-Diff:  $(R - S)^{-1} = R^{-1} - S^{-1}$ 
by auto

lemma Diff-Image:  $(R - S) `` \{x\} = R `` \{x\} - S `` \{x\}$ 
by blast

lemma finite-rel-finite-Field:  $\llbracket \text{Field } R \subseteq A; \text{finite } A \rrbracket \implies \text{finite } R$ 
apply (subgoal-tac R ⊆ A × A)
apply (rule finite-subset) apply assumption+
apply (fast intro: finite-cartesian-product)
apply (fastforce simp add: Field-def)
done

lemma insert-Image-split:
 $((\text{insert } (a, b) R) `` \{c\}) = ((\text{if } a = c \text{ then } \{b\} \text{ else } \{\}) \cup (R `` \{c\}))$ 
by auto

lemma Image-rel-empty [simp]:  $\{\} `` A = \{\}$ 
by auto

lemma converse-mono:  $A \subseteq B \implies (A)^{-1} \subseteq (B)^{-1}$ 
by auto

definition rel-restrict ::  $('a \times 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ set}$  where
rel-restrict R A B =  $(R \cap (A \times B))$ 

definition dom-of-range-restrict ::  $('a \times 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  where
dom-of-range-restrict R C =  $(\text{Domain } (\text{rel-restrict } R \text{ UNIV } C))$ 

lemma dom-of-range-restrict-expanded:  $\text{dom-of-range-restrict } R \text{ } C = \{ x. \exists y. ((x, y) \in R \wedge y \in C)\}$ 
by (fastforce simp add: dom-of-range-restrict-def rel-restrict-def)

lemma rel-restrict-diff:  $\text{rel-restrict } (R1 - R2) \text{ } A \text{ } B = (((\text{rel-restrict } R1) \text{ } A \text{ } B) - ((\text{rel-restrict } R2) \text{ } A \text{ } B))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: rel-restrict-def) blast

lemma rel-restrict-insert: rel-restrict (insert (x, y) R) A B =
  (if (x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ B) then (insert (x, y) (rel-restrict R A B)) else (rel-restrict R A B))
by (simp add: rel-restrict-def)

lemma rel-restrict-empty [simp]: rel-restrict {} A B = {}
by (simp add: rel-restrict-def)

lemma rel-restrict-remove: b ∈ B ⟹ (a, b) ∈ R ⟹
  Range ((rel-restrict R {a} B) − {(a, b)}) = ((Range (rel-restrict R {a} B)) − {b})
by (simp add: rel-restrict-def) blast

lemma {(x, y). x ∈ A ∧ ((x,y) ∈ R ∧ y ∈ C)} = (R ∩ (A × C))
by blast

lemma {y. ∃ x ∈ A. ((x,y) ∈ R ∧ y ∈ C)} = Range (R ∩ (A × C))
by blast

lemma {y. ((x,y) ∈ R ∧ y ∈ C)} = Range (R ∩ ({x} × C))
by blast

definition restrict-rel :: ['a rel, 'a set] ⇒ 'a rel where
  restrict-rel R A = R ∩ A × A

lemma Field-restrict-rel [simp]: Field (restrict-rel R A) ⊆ A
by (auto simp add: restrict-rel-def Field-def)

lemma restrict-rel-empty [simp]: restrict-rel {} A = {}
by (simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-rel-insert:
  (restrict-rel (insert (x,y) R) A) =
    (if (x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A) then {(x, y)} else {}) ∪ (restrict-rel R A)
by (simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-rel-insert2:
  (restrict-rel (insert (x,y) R) A) = ((({x, y}) ∩ A × A) ∪ (restrict-rel R A))
by (simp add: restrict-rel-def) blast

lemma restrict-rel-insert-dom:

```

```

restrict-rel R A = R ==> restrict-rel R (insert a A) = R
by (fastforce simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-relD [dest]:
  (a, a') ∈ restrict-rel R A ==> (a, a') ∈ R ∧ a ∈ A ∧ a' ∈ A
by (simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-rel-Diff: restrict-rel (r - s) A = (restrict-rel r A) - (restrict-rel
s A)
by (fastforce simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-rel-Un [simp]:
  restrict-rel (R ∪ S) A = restrict-rel R A ∪ restrict-rel S A
apply (simp add: restrict-rel-def)
apply blast
done

lemma restrict-rel-mono: R ⊆ R' ==> A ⊆ A' ==> restrict-rel R A ⊆ restrict-rel
R' A'
by (fastforce simp add: restrict-rel-def)

lemma restrict-rel-Field-subset [simp]:
  Field R ⊆ A ==> restrict-rel R A = R
by (simp add: restrict-rel-def Field-def) fast

definition
fun-map-upd :: ('a => 'b) => ('a ~=> 'b) => ('a => 'b) where
  fun-map-upd f m = (λk. case m k of None ⇒ f k | Some v ⇒ v)

lemma fun-map-upd-empty [simp]: fun-map-upd f empty = f
by (simp add: fun-map-upd-def)

lemma fun-map-upd-upd [simp]: fun-map-upd f (m(x ↦ y)) = (fun-map-upd f m)(x := y)
by (simp add: fun-map-upd-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma map-add-dom-disj1: [| dom tt1 ∩ dom tt2 = {} ; tt1 b = Some ntp' |]
  ==> (tt1 ++ tt2) b = Some ntp'
by (auto simp add: map-add-Some-iff)

lemma map-add-dom-disj2: [| dom tt1 ∩ dom tt2 = {} ; tt2 b = Some ntp' |]
  ==> (tt1 ++ tt2) b = Some ntp'
by (auto simp add: map-add-Some-iff)

lemma map-add-disj:
  [| (m1 ++ m2) x = Some x' ; dom m1 ∩ dom m2 = {} |] ==> (m1 x = Some x')
  ∨ (m2 x = Some x')

```

by auto

```
lemma dom-map-comp: ran g ⊆ dom f ==> dom (f o-m g) = dom g
apply (simp add: dom-def ran-def map-comp-def)
apply (auto split add: option.split-asm)
done
```

```
lemma map-add-image-ran: dom m2 = A ==>(the o m1 ++ m2) ` A = ran m2
by (clarsimp simp add: map-add-def image-def ran-def dom-def) auto
```

```
lemma map-add-image: dom m2 ∩ A = {} ==>(m1 ++ m2) ` A = (m1) ` A
by (fastforce simp add: map-add-def image-def split: option.splits)+
```

```
lemma the-map-add-image: dom m2 ∩ A = {} ==>(the o (m1 ++ m2)) ` A =
(the o m1) ` A
by (simp add: image-compose map-add-image)
```

```
lemma image-Int-subset: A ⊆ B ==> f ` (A ∩ B) = f ` A ∩ f ` B
by (fastforce simp add: image-def)
```

```
lemma dom-reduce-insert:
(dom gm = insert a A) = (∃ b gm'. gm = gm'(a→b) ∧ dom gm' = A)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (rule-tac x=the (gm a) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=gm` A in exI)
```

```
apply (simp add: restrict-map-insert [THEN sym] restrict-map-dom-subset)
apply fastforce
apply clarsimp
done
```

```
lemma inj-map-pair [simp]: inj f ==> inj g ==> inj (map-pair f g)
by (simp add: map-pair-def inj-on-def)
```

```
lemma rtrancl-map-pair: (b0, c0) ∈ B* ==> (f b0, f c0) ∈ (map-pair ff ` B)*
apply (induct b0 c0 rule: rtrancl.induct)
apply fast
apply (subgoal-tac (f b, f c) ∈ (map-pair ff ` B))
apply (rule rtrancl-into-rtrancl) apply assumption+
apply (fastforce simp add: map-pair-def image-def)
done
```

```

lemma rtrancl-inclusion-map-pair:
   $A^* \subseteq B^* \implies ((\text{map-pair } ff) ' A)^* \subseteq ((\text{map-pair } ff) ' B)^*$ 
apply clarify
apply (rename-tac x y)
apply (erule-tac rtrancl.induct [where  $P = \lambda x y. (x, y) \in ((\text{map-pair } ff) ' B)^*$ ])
apply fast
apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists b0 c0. (b0, c0) \in A \wedge b = (f b0) \wedge c = (f c0)$ )
  prefer 2 apply (fastforce simp add: map-pair-def image-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (subgoal-tac  $(b0, c0) \in B^*$ ) prefer 2 apply blast
apply (fast intro: rtrancl-trans rtrancl-map-pair)
done

definition
 $\text{emorph} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'b \times 'b \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{emorph } m = \text{map-pair } (\text{the } \circ m) (\text{the } \circ m)$ 

lemma emorph-pair [simp]:  $\text{emorph } m (a, b) = (\text{the } (m a), \text{the } (m b))$ 
by (simp add: emorph-def)

lemma emorph-converse:
 $\text{emorph } gm ' (R)^{-1} = (\text{emorph } gm ' R)^{-1}$ 
apply (simp add: emorph-def converse-unfold image-def split-beta)
apply (rule Collect-cong)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (clarsimp) apply (rule bexI) prefer 2 apply assumption apply simp
apply (clarsimp) apply fast
done

lemma Field-emorph:  $\text{Field } (\text{emorph } m ' R) = (\text{the } \circ m) ' (\text{Field } R)$ 
by (fastforce simp add: emorph-def image-def Field-def Domain-unfold Domain-converse [symmetric])

lemma rtrancl-inclusion-emorph:
 $A^* \subseteq B^* \implies ((\text{emorph } gm) ' A)^* \subseteq ((\text{emorph } gm) ' B)^*$ 
by (simp add: emorph-def rtrancl-inclusion-map-pair)

lemma inj-on-emorph:
 $\text{inj-map } gm \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{emorph } gm) (\text{dom } gm \times \text{dom } gm)$ 
by (simp add: emorph-def map-pair-inj-on inj-on-the)

lemma rtrancl-id-trancl:  $R^* = Id \cup R^+$ 
apply (simp add: set-eq-iff rtrancl-eq-or-trancl)
apply blast

```

done

3 Cardinalities of sets; finite and infinite sets

```
definition card-le :: 'a set ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
card-le B n == ((finite B) ∧ (card B < n))
```

```
definition card-geq :: 'a set ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
card-geq B n == ((¬ (finite B)) ∨ (card B ≥ n))
```

```
lemma card-le-0 [simp]: card-le B 0 = False
by (simp add: card-le-def)
```

```
lemma card-geq-0 [simp]: card-geq B 0
by (simp add: card-geq-def)
```

```
lemma not-card-le-card-geq [simp]: (¬ (card-le B n)) = card-geq B n
apply (simp add: card-geq-def card-le-def)
apply arith
done
```

```
lemma not-card-geq-card-le [simp]: (¬ (card-geq B n)) = card-le B n
apply (simp add: card-geq-def card-le-def)
apply arith
done
```

```
lemma empty-finite: ∀ a. a ∉ A ⇒ finite A
by auto
```

```
lemma non-finite-non-empty: ¬ finite A ⇒ ∃ a. a ∈ A
apply (insert empty-finite [of A])
apply blast
done
```

```
lemma card-le-Suc-insert: a ∉ B ⇒ card-le (insert a B) (Suc n) = card-le B n
by (auto simp add: card-le-def)
```

```
lemma card-geq-Suc-insert: a ∉ B ⇒ card-geq (insert a B) (Suc n) = card-geq
B n
by (auto simp add: card-geq-def)
```

```
lemma card-le-Suc-diff: a ∈ B ⇒ card-le (B - {a}) n = card-le B (Suc n)
apply (insert card-le-Suc-insert [of a B - {a} n])
apply (subgoal-tac insert a B = B)
apply auto
done
```

```

lemma card-geq-Suc-diff:  $a \in B \implies \text{card-geq}(B - \{a\}) n = \text{card-geq} B (\text{Suc } n)$ 
apply (insert card-geq-Suc-insert [of a  $B - \{a\}$   $n$ ])
apply (subgoal-tac insert a  $B = B$ )
apply auto
done

```

4 Syntax of \mathcal{ALCQ}

We now give details of the formal definition of the logic \mathcal{ALC} . The type of roles, defined by:

```
datatype role-op = RDiff | RAdd
```

```

datatype ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst =
  RSubst 'nr role-op ('ni * 'ni)
  | ISubst 'ni 'ni

```

```
datatype numres-ord = Le | Geq
```

```

fun dual-numres-ord :: numres-ord  $\Rightarrow$  numres-ord where
  dual-numres-ord Le = Geq
  | dual-numres-ord Geq = Le

```

```

datatype ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept =
  AtomC 'nc
  | Top
  | Bottom
  | NotC (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
  | AndC (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept) (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
  | OrC (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept) (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
  | NumRestrC (numres-ord) (nat) 'nr (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
  | SubstC (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept) ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst

```

```

definition SomeC :: 'nr  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
where
  SomeC r c = (NumRestrC Geq 1 r c)

```

```

definition AllC :: 'nr  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
where
  AllC r c = (NumRestrC Le 1 r (NotC c))

```

```

datatype ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact =
  Inst ('ni) (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept)
  | AtomR bool ('nr) ('ni) ('ni)
  | Eq bool 'ni 'ni

datatype binop = Conj | Disj
datatype quantif = QAll | QEx

fun dual-binop :: binop => binop where
  dual-binop Conj = Disj
  | dual-binop Disj = Conj

fun dual-quantif :: quantif => quantif where
  dual-quantif QAll = QEx
  | dual-quantif QEx = QAll

datatype ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form =
  FalseFm
  | FactFm (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact)
  | NegFm (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)
  | BinopFm binop (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)
  | QuantifFm quantif (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)
  | SubstFm (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst

abbreviation TrueFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  TrueFm == (NegFm FalseFm)

abbreviation ConjFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  ConjFm a b == (BinopFm Conj a b)
abbreviation DisjFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  DisjFm a b == (BinopFm Disj a b)

definition ImplFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  ImplFm a b = (DisjFm (NegFm a) b)
definition IfThenElseFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  IfThenElseFm c a b = ConjFm (ImplFm c a) (ImplFm (NegFm c) b)

```

```

abbreviation AllFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  AllFm f == (QuantifFm QAll f)
abbreviation ExFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form) where
  ExFm f == (QuantifFm QEx f)

fun univ-quantif :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  univ-quantif pos FalseFm = True
  | univ-quantif pos (FactFm f) = True
  | univ-quantif pos (NegFm f) = (univ-quantif ( $\neg$  pos) f)
  | univ-quantif pos (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = ((univ-quantif pos f1)  $\wedge$  (univ-quantif pos f2))
    | univ-quantif pos (QuantifFm q f) = (((pos  $\wedge$  q = QAll)  $\vee$  (( $\neg$  pos)  $\wedge$  q = QEx))  $\wedge$  univ-quantif pos f)
  | univ-quantif pos (SubstFm f sb) = (univ-quantif pos f)

fun quantif-free :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  quantif-free FalseFm = True
  | quantif-free (FactFm f) = True
  | quantif-free (NegFm f) = (quantif-free f)
  | quantif-free (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = ((quantif-free f1)  $\wedge$  (quantif-free f2))
  | quantif-free (QuantifFm q f) = False
  | quantif-free (SubstFm f sb) = (quantif-free f)

end

```

5 Treatment of variables, in particular bound variables

```

datatype 'v var =
  Free 'v
  | Bound nat

fun shift-var :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'ni var  $\Rightarrow$  'ni var where
  shift-var n (Free w) = Free w
  | shift-var n (Bound k) = (if n  $\leq$  k then Bound (k - 1) else Bound k)

fun lift-var :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'v var  $\Rightarrow$  'v var where
  lift-var n (Free v) = Free v
  | lift-var n (Bound k) = (if n  $\leq$  k then Bound (k + 1) else Bound k)

```

```

fun lift-subst :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) subst where
  lift-subst n (RSubst r rop (v1, v2)) = RSubst r rop (lift-var n v1, lift-var n v2)
  | lift-subst n (ISubst v v') = ISubst (lift-var n v) (lift-var n v')

fun lift-concept :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) concept  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) concept
where
  lift-concept n Bottom = Bottom
  | lift-concept n Top = Top
  | lift-concept n (AtomC a) = (AtomC a)
  | lift-concept n (AndC c1 c2) = AndC (lift-concept n c1) (lift-concept n c2)
  | lift-concept n (OrC c1 c2) = OrC (lift-concept n c1) (lift-concept n c2)
  | lift-concept n (NotC c) = NotC (lift-concept n c)
  | lift-concept n (NumRestrC nro nb r c) = (NumRestrC nro nb r (lift-concept n c))
  | lift-concept n (SubstC c sb) = SubstC (lift-concept n c) (lift-subst n sb)

fun lift-fact :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) fact  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) fact where
  lift-fact n (Inst x c) = (Inst (lift-var n x) (lift-concept n c))
  | lift-fact n (AtomR sign r x y) = (AtomR sign r (lift-var n x) (lift-var n y))
  | lift-fact n (Eq sign x y) = Eq sign (lift-var n x) (lift-var n y)

fun lift-form :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form where
  lift-form n FalseFm = FalseFm
  | lift-form n (FactFm fct) = FactFm (lift-fact n fct)
  | lift-form n (NegFm f) = NegFm (lift-form n f)
  | lift-form n (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = BinopFm bop (lift-form n f1) (lift-form n f2)
  | lift-form n (QuantifFm q f) = QuantifFm q (lift-form (n+1) f)
  | lift-form n (SubstFm f sb) = (SubstFm (lift-form n f) (lift-subst n sb))

fun shuffle-right :: binop  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form where
  shuffle-right bop f1 (QuantifFm q f2) = QuantifFm q (shuffle-right bop (lift-form 0 f1) f2)
  | shuffle-right bop f1 f2 = BinopFm bop f1 f2

fun shuffle-left :: binop  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form where
  shuffle-left bop (QuantifFm q f1) f2 = QuantifFm q (shuffle-left bop f1 (lift-form 0 f2))
  | shuffle-left bop f1 f2 = shuffle-right bop f1 f2

fun lift-bound-above-negfm :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form
where
  lift-bound-above-negfm (QuantifFm q f) = QuantifFm (dual-quantif q) (lift-bound-above-negfm f)
  | lift-bound-above-negfm f = NegFm f

fun lift-bound-above-substfm :: 

```

```

('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form
where
lift-bound-above-substfm (QuantifFm q f) sb = QuantifFm q (lift-bound-above-substfm
f (lift-subst 0 sb))
| lift-bound-above-substfm f sb = SubstFm f sb

fun lift-bound :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form where
lift-bound FalseFm = FalseFm
| lift-bound (FactFm fact) = FactFm fact
| lift-bound (NegFm f) = lift-bound-above-negfm (lift-bound f)
| lift-bound (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = shuffle-left bop (lift-bound f1) (lift-bound f2)
| lift-bound (QuantifFm q f) = QuantifFm q (lift-bound f)
| lift-bound (SubstFm f sb) = (lift-bound-above-substfm (lift-bound f) sb)

definition bind :: quantif  $\Rightarrow$  'ni  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var)
form where
bind q v f = QuantifFm q (SubstFm (lift-form 0 f) (ISubst (Free v) (Bound 0)))

```

6 Semantics of \mathcal{ALCQ}

typeddecl domtype

The type *domtype* is the type of elements of the interpretation domain. Then
The interpretation is defined as follows:

```

record ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp =
idomain :: domtype set
interp-c :: 'nc  $\Rightarrow$  domtype set
interp-r :: 'nr  $\Rightarrow$  (domtype * domtype) set
interp-i :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  domtype

fun interpRO :: role-op  $\Rightarrow$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  ('ni * 'ni)  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  (domtype
* domtype) set where
interpRO RDiff r (x, y) i = (interp-r i r) - {(interp-i i x, interp-i i y)}
| interpRO RAdd r (x, y) i = insert (interp-i i x, interp-i i y) (interp-r i r)

fun interp-numres-ord :: numres-ord  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
interp-numres-ord Le = card-le
| interp-numres-ord Geq = card-geq

definition interp-i-modif :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  domtype  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc,
'ni) Interp where
interp-i-modif x xi i = i (interp-i := (interp-i i)(x := xi) |)

```

```

definition interp-r-modif :: 'nr  $\Rightarrow$  (domtype * domtype) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni)
Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp where
  interp-r-modif r ri i = i(interp-r := (interp-r i)(r := ri) [])

fun interp-subst :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni)
Interp where
  interp-subst (RSubst r rop p) i = (interp-r-modif r (interpRO rop r p i)) i
  | interp-subst (ISubst v v') i = (interp-i-modif v (interp-i i v')) i

fun interp-subst-closure :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst list  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr,
'nc, 'ni) Interp where
  interp-subst-closure [] i = i
  | interp-subst-closure (sb # sbsts) i = interp-subst sb (interp-subst-closure sbsts
i)

fun interp-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  domtype
set where
  interp-concept Bottom i = {}
  | interp-concept Top i = UNIV
  | interp-concept (AtomC a) i = interp-c i a
  | interp-concept (AndC c1 c2) i = (interp-concept c1 i)  $\cap$  (interp-concept c2 i)
  | interp-concept (OrC c1 c2) i = (interp-concept c1 i)  $\cup$  (interp-concept c2 i)
  | interp-concept (NotC c) i =  $\neg$  (interp-concept c i)
  | interp-concept (NumRestrC nro n r c) i =
    {x . interp-numres-ord nro (Range (rel-restrict (interp-r i r) {x}) (interp-concept
c i))) n}
  | interp-concept (SubstC c sb) i = (interp-concept c) (interp-subst sb i)

fun interp-fact :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  interp-fact (Inst x c) icr = ((interp-i icr x)  $\in$  (interp-concept c icr))
  | interp-fact (AtomR sign r x y) icr =
    (if sign
      then ((interp-i icr x, interp-i icr y)  $\in$  (interp-r icr r))
      else ((interp-i icr x, interp-i icr y)  $\notin$  (interp-r icr r)))
  | interp-fact (Eq sign x y) icr =
    (if sign
      then ((interp-i icr x) = (interp-i icr y))
      else ((interp-i icr x)  $\neq$  (interp-i icr y)))

definition interp-bound :: domtype  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni
var) Interp where
  interp-bound xi i = i(interp-i := ((interp-i i)  $\circ$  (shift-var 0))(Bound 0 := xi) [])

```

```

fun interp-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  interp-form FalseFm i = False
  | interp-form (FactFm f) i = interp-fact f i
  | interp-form (NegFm f) i = ( $\neg$  (interp-form f i))
  | interp-form (BinopFm Conj f1 f2) i = ((interp-form f1 i)  $\wedge$  (interp-form f2 i))
  | interp-form (BinopFm Disj f1 f2) i = ((interp-form f1 i)  $\vee$  (interp-form f2 i))
  | interp-form (QuantifFm QAll f) i = ( $\forall$  xi. interp-form f (interp-bound xi i))
  | interp-form (QuantifFm QEx f) i = ( $\exists$  xi. interp-form f (interp-bound xi i))
  | interp-form (SubstFm f sb) i = (interp-form f) (interp-subst sb i)

definition lift-impl a b = ( $\lambda$  s. a s  $\longrightarrow$  b s)
definition lift-ite c a b = ( $\lambda$  s. if c s then a s else b s)

definition validFm :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  validFm f  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  i. (interp-form f i))

```

```

definition delete-edge :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  'ni  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp
where delete-edge v1 r v2 s =
  s () interp-r := (interp-r s)(r:= (interp-r s r) - { (interp-i s v1, interp-i s v2) })
}

definition generate-edge :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  'ni  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) Interp
where generate-edge v1 r v2 s =
  s () interp-r := (interp-r s)(r:= insert (interp-i s v1, interp-i s v2) (interp-r s r)) ()

```

7 Programming language

```

datatype ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt
= SKIP
| EDel 'i 'r 'i
| EGen 'i 'r 'i
| SelAss 'i (('r, 'c, 'i var) form)
| Seq ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt      (-;/ - [60, 61] 60)
| If (('r, 'c, 'i var) form) (('r, 'c, 'i) stmt) (('r, 'c, 'i) stmt) ((IF -/ THEN
-/ ELSE -) [0, 0, 61] 61)
| While (('r, 'c, 'i var) form) (('r, 'c, 'i var) form) (('r, 'c, 'i) stmt)      ((WHILE
{-}/ -/ DO -) [0, 0, 61] 61)

```

```

fun form-prop-in-stmt :: (('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) stmt
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    form-prop-in-stmt fp SKIP = True
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (EDel v1 r v2) = True
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (EGen v1 r v2) = True
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (SelAss v b) = fp b
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (c1 ; c2) = (form-prop-in-stmt fp c1  $\wedge$  form-prop-in-stmt
fp c2)
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2) =
        (fp b  $\wedge$  form-prop-in-stmt fp c1  $\wedge$  form-prop-in-stmt fp c2)
    | form-prop-in-stmt fp (WHILE {iv} b DO c) = (fp iv  $\wedge$  fp b  $\wedge$  form-prop-in-stmt
fp c)

```

inductive

big-step :: ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt \times ('r, 'c, 'i var) Interp \Rightarrow ('r, 'c, 'i var) Interp \Rightarrow
bool (\rightarrow - [61,61]60)

where

Skip: (SKIP, s) \Rightarrow s |

EDel: $s' = \text{delete-edge } (\text{Free } v1) r (\text{Free } v2) s \implies (EDel v1 r v2, s) \Rightarrow s'$ |

EGen: $s' = \text{generate-edge } (\text{Free } v1) r (\text{Free } v2) s \implies (EGen v1 r v2, s) \Rightarrow s'$ |

SelAssTrue: $\exists vi. (s' = \text{interp-i-modif } (\text{Free } v) vi s \wedge \text{interp-form } b s') \implies$
 $(\text{SelAss } v b, s) \Rightarrow s'$ |

Seq: $(c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2 \implies$

$(c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \implies$

$(c_1; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$ |

IfTrue: interp-form b s \implies

$(c_1, s) \Rightarrow t \implies$

$(IF b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

IfFalse: $\neg \text{interp-form } b s \implies$

$(c_2, s) \Rightarrow t \implies$

$(IF b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2, s) \Rightarrow t$ |

WhileFalse: $\neg \text{interp-form } b s \implies (\text{WHILE } \{iv\} b DO c, s) \Rightarrow s$ |

WhileTrue: interp-form b s1 \implies

$(c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2 \implies$

$(\text{WHILE } \{iv\} b DO c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3 \implies$

$(\text{WHILE } \{iv\} b DO c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3$

```

declare big-step.intros [intro]

lemmas big-step-induct = big-step.induct[split-format(complete)]

inductive-cases SkipE[elim!]: (SKIP,s)  $\Rightarrow$  t
inductive-cases EDelE[elim!]: (EDel v1 r v2, s)  $\Rightarrow$  s'
inductive-cases EGenE[elim!]: (EGen v1 r v2, s)  $\Rightarrow$  s'
inductive-cases ESelAss[elim!]: (SelAss v b, s)  $\Rightarrow$  s'
inductive-cases SeqE[elim!]: (c1;c2,s1)  $\Rightarrow$  s3
inductive-cases IfE[elim!]: (IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2,s)  $\Rightarrow$  t
inductive-cases WhileE[elim]: (WHILE {iv} b DO c,s)  $\Rightarrow$  t

```

8 Semantics (Proofs)

```

lemma interp-c-interp-i-modif [simp]:
  interp-c (interp-i-modif r ri i) c = interp-c i c
by (simp add: interp-i-modif-def)

lemma interp-c-interp-r-modif [simp]:
  interp-c (interp-r-modif r ri i) c = interp-c i c
by (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)

lemma interp-i-interp-r-modif [simp]:
  interp-i (interp-r-modif r ri i) x = interp-i i x
by (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)

lemma interp-r-interp-i-modif [simp]:
  interp-r (interp-i-modif v vi i) r = interp-r i r
by (simp add: interp-i-modif-def)

lemma interp-i-interp-i-modif-eq [simp]:
  interp-i (interp-i-modif v v' i) v = v'
by (simp add: interp-i-modif-def)

lemma interp-i-interp-i-modif-neq [simp]:
  v  $\neq$  v''  $\implies$  interp-i (interp-i-modif v v' i) v'' = (interp-i i v'')
by (simp add: interp-i-modif-def)

lemma interp-r-interp-r-modif-eq [simp]:
  (interp-r (interp-r-modif r (interpRO rop r p i) i) r) = interpRO rop r p i
by (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)

```

```

lemma interp-r-interp-r-modif-neq [simp]:
   $r' \neq r \implies (\text{interp-r} (\text{interp-r-modif } r (\text{interpRO } \text{rop } r p i) i) r') = \text{interp-r } i r'$ 
by (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)

lemma interp-r-modif-interp-r [simp]: ( $\text{interp-r-modif } r (\text{interp-r } i r) i$ ) =  $i$ 
by (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)

lemma interp-form-ImplFm [simp]:
   $\text{interp-form} (\text{ImplFm } f1 f2) = (\text{lift-impl} (\text{interp-form } f1) (\text{interp-form } f2))$ 
by (simp add: ImplFm-def lift-impl-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma interp-form-IfThenElseFm [simp]:  $\text{interp-form} (\text{IfThenElseFm } c a b) =$ 
   $(\text{lift-ite} (\text{interp-form } c) (\text{interp-form } a) (\text{interp-form } b))$ 
by (simp add: IfThenElseFm-def lift-ite-def lift-impl-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma (Range (rel-restrict (interpR r i) {x} (interp-concept c i))) = {y. ((x,y)
   $\in (\text{interpR } r i) \wedge y \in (\text{interp-concept } c i))}$ 
by (simp add: rel-restrict-def) blast

lemma Bottom-NumRestrC: interp-concept Bottom i = interp-concept (NumRestrC
  Le 0 r c) i
by simp

lemma Top-NumRestrC: interp-concept Top i = interp-concept (NumRestrC Geq
  0 r c) i
by simp

lemma NotC-NumRestrC-Le: interp-concept (NotC (NumRestrC Le n r c)) i =
  interp-concept (NumRestrC Geq n r c) i
by (simp add: set-eq-iff)

lemma NotC-NumRestrC-Geq: interp-concept (NotC (NumRestrC Geq n r c)) i =
  interp-concept (NumRestrC Le n r c) i
by (simp add: set-eq-iff)

lemma NotC-SubstC: interp-concept (NotC (SubstC c sb)) i = interp-concept
  (SubstC (NotC c) sb) i
by (simp add: set-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma interp-concept-SubstC-AtomC:
  interp-concept (SubstC (AtomC a) sb) i = interp-concept (AtomC a) i
by (case-tac sb) simp-all

lemma interp-concept-SubstC-AndC:
  interp-concept (SubstC (AndC c1 c2) sb) i =
  interp-concept (AndC (SubstC c1 sb) (SubstC c2 sb)) i
by simp

lemma interp-concept-SubstC-OrC:
  interp-concept (SubstC (OrC c1 c2) sb) i =
  interp-concept (OrC (SubstC c1 sb) (SubstC c2 sb)) i
by simp

lemma interp-concept-SubstC-NotC:
  interp-concept (SubstC (NotC c) sb) i =
  interp-concept (NotC (SubstC c sb)) i
by simp

lemma interp-concept-SubstC-NumRestrC-other-role:
  r ≠ r'  $\implies$ 
  interp-concept (SubstC (NumRestrC nro n r' c) (RSubst r rop p)) i =
  interp-concept (NumRestrC nro n r' (SubstC c (RSubst r rop p))) i
by simp

lemma interp-form-SubstFm-NegFm:
  interp-form (SubstFm (NegFm f) sb) i =
  interp-form (NegFm (SubstFm f sb)) i
by simp

lemma interp-form-SubstFm-ConjFm:
  interp-form (SubstFm (BinopFm bop f1 f2) sb) i =
  interp-form (BinopFm bop (SubstFm f1 sb) (SubstFm f2 sb)) i
by (case-tac sb) ((case-tac bop), simp-all)+
```

```

lemma interp-fact-NumRestrC-Neq-RAdd-expl-subst:
  interp-form (NegFm (ConjFm (ConjFm
    (FactFm (Eq True x v1))
    (FactFm (Inst v2 (SubstC c (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2)))))))
    (FactFm (AtomR False r v1 v2)))) i
    ==> interp-fact (Inst x (SubstC (NumRestrC nro n r c) (RSubst r RAdd (v1,
    v2)))) i =
      interp-fact (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r (SubstC c (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2)))))

i
apply (simp only: interp-form.simps de-Morgan-conj)
apply (elim disjE)
apply (clarsimp simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert interp-r-modif-def insert-absorb) +
done

lemma interp-numres-ord-Eq-RAdd:
  [interp-i i v2 ∈ ci; (interp-i i v1, interp-i i v2) ∉ interp-r i r]
  ==> interp-numres-ord nro
    (Range (rel-restrict (interp-r (interp-r-modif r (insert (interp-i i v1, interp-i
    i v2) (interp-r i r)) i) r) {interp-i i v1} ci))
    (Suc n) =
      interp-numres-ord nro (Range (rel-restrict (interp-r i r) {interp-i i v1} ci))
n
apply (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)
apply (simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert )
apply (subgoal-tac interp-i i v2 ∉ Range (rel-restrict (interp-r i r) {interp-i i v1} ci))
prefer 2 apply (simp add: rel-restrict-def) apply fastforce
apply (case-tac nro)
apply (simp add: card-le-Suc-insert)
apply (simp add: card-geq-Suc-insert)
done

lemma interp-numres-ord-Eq-RDiff:
  [interp-i i v2 ∈ ci; (interp-i i v1, interp-i i v2) ∈ interp-r i r]
  ==> interp-numres-ord nro
    (Range (rel-restrict (interp-r (interp-r-modif r (interp-r i r - {((interp-i i
    v1, interp-i i v2)})) i) r) {interp-i i v1} ci))
    n =
      interp-numres-ord nro (Range (rel-restrict (interp-r i r) {interp-i i v1} ci))
(Suc n)
apply (simp add: interp-r-modif-def)
apply (simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert )
apply (clarsimp simp add: rel-restrict-remove)
apply (subgoal-tac interp-i i v2 ∈ Range (rel-restrict (interp-r i r) {interp-i i v1}))

```

```

 $ci))$ 
prefer 2 apply (fastforce simp add: rel-restrict-def)
apply (case-tac nro)
apply (simp add: card-le-Suc-diff)
apply (simp add: card-geq-Suc-diff)
done

```

9 Treatment of variables (Proofs)

```

lemma lift-var-not-bound [simp]:  $\neg (\text{lift-var } 0 v = \text{Bound } 0)$ 
by (case-tac v) simp-all

```

```

lemma shift-var-lift-var [simp]:
 $\text{shift-var } n (\text{lift-var } n v) = v$ 
by (case-tac v) simp+

```

```

lemma lift-var-shift-var:
 $x \neq \text{Bound } n \implies \text{lift-var } n (\text{shift-var } n x) = x$ 
apply (case-tac x)
apply clarify+
apply arith
done

```

```

lemma interp-c-interp-bound [simp]:
 $\text{interp-c} (\text{interp-bound } xi i) nc = \text{interp-c } i nc$ 
by (simp add: interp-bound-def)

```

```

lemma interp-r-interp-bound [simp]:
 $(\text{interp-r} (\text{interp-bound } xi i) r) = \text{interp-r } i r$ 
by (simp add: interp-bound-def)

```

```

lemma interp-i-interp-bound-i-Bound0 [simp]:
 $\text{interp-i} (\text{interp-bound } xi i) (\text{Bound } 0) = xi$ 
by (simp add: interp-bound-def)

```

```

lemma interp-i-interp-bound-i-BoundSuc [simp]:
 $\text{interp-i} (\text{interp-bound } xi i) (\text{Bound } (\text{Suc } k)) = \text{interp-i } i (\text{Bound } k)$ 
by (simp add: interp-bound-def)

```

```

lemma interp-i-interp-bound-i-Free [simp]:
 $(\text{interp-i} (\text{interp-bound } xi i)) (\text{Free } v) = \text{interp-i } i (\text{Free } v)$ 
by (simp add: interp-bound-def)

```

```

lemma interp-i-interp-bound-lift-var [simp]:
 $\text{interp-i} (\text{interp-bound } xi i) (\text{lift-var } 0 v) = \text{interp-i } i v$ 
by (case-tac v) simp+

```

```

lemma interpRO-interp-bound [simp]:
  (interpRO rop nr (lift-var 0 v1, lift-var 0 v2) (interp-bound xi i)) =
  (interpRO rop nr (v1, v2) i)
by (case-tac rop) simp-all

definition fun-replace-at n xi i = ( $\lambda$  v.
  (case v of
    (Free w)  $\Rightarrow$  (interp-i i) (Free w)
    | (Bound k)  $\Rightarrow$  (if n = k then xi else (if n < k then (interp-i i) (Bound (k - 1))
    else (interp-i i) (Bound k))))
  )

definition interp-replace-at n xi i = i(|interp-i := fun-replace-at n xi i|)

lemma interp-replace-at-0-interp-bound:
  interp-bound xi i = interp-replace-at 0 xi i
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-def fun-replace-at-def interp-bound-def)
apply (cases i)
apply (clarsimp simp add: fun-eq-iff split add: var.split)
done

lemma fun-replace-at-lift-var [simp]:
  fun-replace-at n xi i (lift-var n v) = interp-i i v
by (case-tac v) (simp add: fun-replace-at-def)+

lemma interp-replace-at-lift-var [simp]:
  (interp-i (interp-replace-at n xi i) (lift-var n v)) = interp-i i v
by (simp add: interp-replace-at-def)

lemma interp-c-interp-replace-at [simp]:
  interp-c (interp-replace-at n xi i) nc = interp-c i nc
by (simp add: interp-replace-at-def)

lemma interp-r-interp-replace-at [simp]:
  (interp-r (interp-replace-at n xi i) r) = interp-r i r
by (simp add: interp-replace-at-def)

lemma interpRO-interp-replace-at [simp]:
  (interpRO rop nr (lift-var n v1, lift-var n v2) (interp-replace-at n xi i)) =
  (interpRO rop nr (v1, v2) i)
by (case-tac rop) simp-all

lemma interp-replace-at-interp-r-modif:
  interp-replace-at n xi (interp-r-modif r ri i) = interp-r-modif r ri (interp-replace-at
  n xi i)

```

```

apply (simp add: interp-r-modif-def fun-replace-at-def interp-replace-at-def)
apply (cases i)
apply (clarify simp add: fun-eq-iff split add: var.split)
done

lemma fun-replace-at-interp-i:
  (fun-replace-at n xi (i(interp-i := (interp-i i)(y := yi)))) = ((fun-replace-at n xi
  i)(lift-var n y := yi))
apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
apply (simp add: fun-replace-at-def split add: var.split)
apply (auto split add: split-if-asm)
done

lemma interp-replace-at-interp-i-modif:
  interp-replace-at n xi (interp-i-modif y yi i) =
    interp-i-modif (lift-var n y) yi (interp-replace-at n xi i)
apply (simp add: interp-i-modif-def interp-replace-at-def)
apply (simp add: fun-replace-at-interp-i)
done

lemma interp-subst-interp-replace-at [simp]:
  interp-subst (lift-subst n sb) (interp-replace-at n xi i) = interp-replace-at n xi
  (interp-subst sb i)
apply (case-tac sb)
apply (case-tac prod)
apply simp
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-interp-r-modif)
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-interp-i-modif)
done

lemma interp-subst-lift-subst-interp-bound [simp]:
  (interp-subst (lift-subst 0 sb) (interp-bound xi i)) = (interp-bound xi (interp-subst
  sb i))
by (simp add: interp-replace-at-0-interp-bound)

lemma interp-subst-closure-lift-subst [rule-format]:
  ∀ xi i. (interp-subst-closure (map (lift-subst 0) sbsts) (interp-bound xi i)) =
  (interp-bound xi (interp-subst-closure sbsts i))
apply (induct sbsts)
apply simp
apply clarify
done

```

```

lemma fun-replace-at-shift-var:
  (fun-replace-at n a i o shift-var 0)(Bound 0 := xi) = fun-replace-at (Suc n) a
  (i(interp-i := (interp-i i o shift-var 0)(Bound 0 := xi))[])
apply (rule ext)
apply (case-tac x)
apply (simp add: fun-replace-at-def)
apply (simp add: fun-replace-at-def)
apply auto
done

lemma interp-bound-fun-replace-at:
  (interp-bound xi (i(interp-i := fun-replace-at n a i))) = (interp-replace-at (Suc
  n) a (interp-bound xi i))
apply (simp add: interp-bound-def)
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-def interp-bound-def)
apply (simp add: fun-replace-at-shift-var)
done

lemma interp-concept-interp-replace-at [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall i. \text{interp-concept} (\text{lift-concept } n c) (\text{interp-replace-at } n xi i) = \text{interp-concept } c$ 
i
by (induct c) simp-all

lemma interp-fact-interp-replace-at [simp]:
  interp-fact (lift-fact n fact) (interp-replace-at n xi i) = interp-fact fact i
by (induct fact) simp-all

lemma interp-form-interp-replace-at [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall n i xi. \text{interp-form} (\text{lift-form } n frm) (\text{interp-replace-at } n xi i) = \text{interp-form}$ 
frm i
apply (induct frm)
apply simp-all
apply clarsimp apply (case-tac binop) apply simp apply simp
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply (clarsimp simp add: interp-replace-at-def interp-bound-fun-replace-at)
apply (clarsimp simp add: interp-replace-at-def interp-bound-fun-replace-at)
done

lemma interp-form-interp-bound [simp]:
  interp-form (lift-form 0 f) (interp-bound xi i) = interp-form f i
by (simp add: interp-replace-at-0-interp-bound)

lemma interp-form-shuffle-right [rule-format]:
   $\forall f1 i. \text{interp-form} (\text{shuffle-right } bop f1 f2) i = \text{interp-form} (\text{BinopFm } bop f1 f2)$ 
i
apply (induct f2)

```

```

prefer 5
apply clarify
apply (case-tac bop)
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply simp-all
done

lemma interp-form-shuffle-left [rule-format]:
   $\forall f2 i. \text{interp-form} (\text{shuffle-left } \text{bop } f1 f2) i = \text{interp-form} (\text{BinopFm } \text{bop } f1 f2) i$ 
apply (induct f1)
prefer 5
apply clarify
apply (case-tac bop)
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply simp-all
apply (simp add: interp-form-shuffle-right)+
done

lemma interp-form-lift-bound-above-negfm [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall i. \text{interp-form} (\text{lift-bound-above-negfm } f) i = (\neg \text{interp-form } f i)$ 
apply (induct f)
prefer 5
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply auto
done

lemma interp-form-lift-bound-above-substfm [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall i sb. \text{interp-form} (\text{lift-bound-above-substfm } f sb) i = (\text{interp-form} (\text{SubstFm } f sb) i)$ 
apply (induct f)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac quantif)
apply simp-all
done

lemma interp-form-lift-bound [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall i. \text{interp-form} (\text{lift-bound } f) i = \text{interp-form } f i$ 
apply (induct f)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac binop)
apply (simp add: interp-form-shuffle-left)+
apply (case-tac quantif)

```

```

apply simp-all
done

lemma interp-i-modif-interp-bound:
   $\text{interp-i-modif}(\text{Free } x) \text{ xi } (\text{interp-bound } xi \ i) = \text{interp-replace-at } 0 \text{ xi } (\text{interp-i-modif}(\text{Free } x) \text{ xi } i)$ 
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-0-interp-bound)
apply (simp add: interp-replace-at-interp-i-modif)
done

lemma interp-form-bind:
   $(\text{interp-form}(\text{bind } Q\text{All } v \text{ frm}) \ i) = (\forall vi. \text{interp-form} \text{frm} (\text{interp-i-modif}(\text{Free } v) \text{ vi } i))$ 
apply (simp add: bind-def)
apply (simp add: interp-i-modif-interp-bound)
done

```

10 Hoare logic where the conditions of the Hoare triples are DL formulae

inductive

$\text{hoare} :: ('r, 'c, 'i \text{ var}) \text{ form} \Rightarrow ('r, 'c, 'i) \text{ stmt} \Rightarrow ('r, 'c, 'i \text{ var}) \text{ form} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\vdash \{\{(1-)\}/ (-)/ \{(1-)\}) \ 50)$

where

$\text{Skip}: \vdash \{P\} \text{ SKIP } \{P\} |$
 $\text{EDel}: \vdash \{ \text{SubstFm } Q \mid R\text{Subst } r \text{ RDif } (\text{Free } v_1, \text{Free } v_2) \} \text{ EDel } v_1 r v_2 \{Q\} |$
 $\text{EGen}: \vdash \{ \text{SubstFm } Q \mid R\text{Subst } r \text{ RAdd } (\text{Free } v_1, \text{Free } v_2) \} \text{ EGen } v_1 r v_2 \{Q\} |$
 $\text{SelAss}: \vdash \{ \text{bind } Q\text{All } v \mid \text{ImplFm } b \ Q \} \text{ (SelAss } v \ b) \{Q\} |$

$\text{Seq}: [\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{Q\}; \vdash \{Q\} c_2 \{R\}] \Rightarrow \vdash \{P\} (c_1; c_2) \{R\} |$

$\text{If}: [\vdash \{\text{ConjFm } P \ b\} c_1 \{Q\}; \vdash \{\text{ConjFm } P \ (\text{NegFm } b)\} c_2 \{Q\}] \Rightarrow \vdash \{P\} \text{ IF } b \text{ THEN } c_1 \text{ ELSE } c_2 \{Q\} |$

$\text{While}: \vdash \{\text{ConjFm } P \ b\} c \{P\} \Rightarrow \vdash \{P\} \text{ WHILE } \{iv\} b \text{ DO } c \{\text{ConjFm } P \ (\text{NegFm } b)\} |$

$\text{conseq}: \text{validFm} ((\text{ImplFm } P' \ P) :: ('r, 'c, 'i \text{ var}) \text{ form}) \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{validFm} ((\text{ImplFm } Q \ Q') :: ('r, 'c, 'i \text{ var}) \text{ form}) \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\}$

lemmas [simp] = hoare.Skip hoare.EDel hoare.EGen hoare.SelAss hoare.Seq hoare.If

lemmas [*intro!*] = hoare.Skip hoare.EDel hoare.EGen hoare.SelAss hoare.Seq hoare.If

lemma *strengthen-pre*:

```
  [ validFm (ImplFm P' P); ⊢ {P} c {Q} ] ==> ⊢ {P'} c {Q}
apply (erule conseq)
apply assumption
apply (simp add: validFm-def lift-impl-def)
done
```

lemma *weaken-post*:

```
  [ ⊢ {P} c {Q}; validFm (ImplFm Q Q') ] ==> ⊢ {P} c {Q'}
apply (rule conseq)
prefer 2 apply assumption
prefer 2 apply assumption
apply (simp add: validFm-def lift-impl-def)
done
```

lemma *While'*:

```
assumes ⊢ {ConjFm P b} c {P} and validFm (ImplFm (ConjFm P (NegFm b)) Q)
shows ⊢ {P} WHILE {iv} b DO c {Q}
by(rule weaken-post[OF While[OF assms(1)] assms(2)])
```

definition

```
hoare-valid :: ('r, 'c, 'i var) form => ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt => ('r, 'c, 'i var) form => bool
(|= {(1-)}/ (-)/ {(1-)} 50) where
|= {P} c {Q} = (λ s t. (c,s) => t → interp-form P s → interp-form Q t)
```

lemma *interp-form-SubstFm-delete*:

```
interp-form (SubstFm Q (RSubst r RDiff (v1, v2)))
= (interp-form Q) o (delete-edge v1 r v2)
by (rule ext) (simp add: interp-r-modif-def delete-edge-def)
```

lemma *interp-form-SubstFm-generate*:

```
interp-form (SubstFm Q (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2)))
= (interp-form Q) o (generate-edge v1 r v2)
by (rule ext) (simp add: interp-r-modif-def generate-edge-def)
```

lemma *hoare-sound-while* [rule-format]:

```
(( WHILE {iv} b DO c, s) => t) ==>
|= {ConjFm P b} c {P} ==>
  interp-form P s → interp-form P t ∧ ¬ interp-form b t
apply (induction ( WHILE {iv} b DO c) s t rule: big-step-induct)
```

```

apply simp
apply (simp add: hoare-valid-def)
done

lemma hoare-sound:  $\vdash \{P\}c\{Q\} \implies \models \{P\}c\{Q\}$ 
proof(induction rule: hoare.induct)
  case (Skip P) thus ?case
    by (auto simp: hoare-valid-def)
  next
  case (EDel Q r v1 v2) thus ?case
    by (clarsimp simp only: hoare-valid-def interp-form-SubstFm-delete, simp)
  next
  case (EGen Q r v1 v2) thus ?case
    by (clarsimp simp only: hoare-valid-def interp-form-SubstFm-generate, simp)
  next
  case (SelAss v b Q) thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: hoare-valid-def interp-form-bind ImplFm-def)
  next
  case (If P b c1 Q c2) thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: hoare-valid-def interp-form-IfThenElseFm)
  next
  case (Seq P c1 Q c2 R) thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: hoare-valid-def)
  next
  case (While P b c iv) thus ?case
    by (clarsimp simp add: hoare-valid-def hoare-sound-while)
  next
  case (conseq P' P c Q Q') thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: hoare-valid-def validFm-def lift-impl-def)
qed

```

```

fun wp-dl :: ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt  $\Rightarrow$  ('r, 'c, 'i var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('r, 'c, 'i var) form where
  wp-dl SKIP Qd = Qd
| wp-dl (EDel v1 r v2) Qd = SubstFm Qd (RSubst r RDiff (Free v1, Free v2))
| wp-dl (EGen v1 r v2) Qd = SubstFm Qd (RSubst r RAdd (Free v1, Free v2))
| wp-dl (SelAss v b) Qd = bind QAll v (ImplFm b Qd)
| wp-dl (c1 ; c2) Qd = wp-dl c1 (wp-dl c2 Qd)
| wp-dl (IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2) Qd = IfThenElseFm b (wp-dl c1 Qd) (wp-dl c2 Qd)
| wp-dl (WHILE {iv} b DO c) Qd = iv

```

```

fun vc :: ('r, 'c, 'i) stmt  $\Rightarrow$  ('r, 'c, 'i var) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('r, 'c, 'i var) form where
  vc SKIP Qd = TrueFm
  | vc (EDel v1 r v2) Qd = TrueFm
  | vc (EGen v1 r v2) Qd = TrueFm
  | vc (SelAss v b) Qd = TrueFm
  | vc (c1 ; c2) Qd = ConjFm (vc c1 (wp-dl c2 Qd)) (vc c2 Qd)
  | vc (IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2) Qd = ConjFm (vc c1 Qd) (vc c2 Qd)
  | vc (WHILE {iv} b DO c) Qd =
    ConjFm (ConjFm
      (ImplFm (ConjFm iv (NegFm b)) Qd)
      (ImplFm (ConjFm iv b) (wp-dl c iv)))
    (vc c iv)

```

lemma quantif-free-univ-quantif [simp]:
 $\text{quantif-free } \text{frm} \longrightarrow \text{univ-quantif } b \text{ } \text{frm}$
by (induct frm arbitrary: b) auto

lemma univ-quantif-lift-form [simp]:
 $\text{univ-quantif } b \text{ } \text{frm} \longrightarrow \text{univ-quantif } b \text{ } (\text{lift-form } n \text{ } \text{frm})$
by (induct frm arbitrary: b n) auto

lemma univ-quantif-wp-dl [rule-format, simp]:
 $\text{univ-quantif } \text{True } q \longrightarrow \text{form-prop-in-stmt } \text{quantif-free } c \longrightarrow \text{univ-quantif } \text{True } (\text{wp-dl } c \text{ } q)$
by (induct c arbitrary: q) (auto simp add: bind-def ImplFm-def IfThenElseFm-def)

lemma univ-quantif-vc [rule-format, simp]:
 $\text{univ-quantif } \text{True } q \longrightarrow \text{form-prop-in-stmt } \text{quantif-free } c \longrightarrow \text{univ-quantif } \text{True } (\text{vc } c \text{ } q)$
by (induct c arbitrary: q) (auto simp add: bind-def ImplFm-def IfThenElseFm-def)

Soundness:

lemma vc-sound: validFm (vc c Q) $\Longrightarrow \vdash \{ \text{wp-dl } c \text{ } Q \} \text{ } c \text{ } \{ Q \}$

proof(induction c arbitrary: Q)

case (While I b c)

show ?case

proof(simp, rule While')

from <validFm (vc (While I b c) Q)>

have vc: validFm (vc c I) **and** IQ: validFm (ImplFm (ConjFm I (NegFm b)) Q) **and**

pre: validFm (ImplFm (ConjFm I b) (wp-dl c I)) **by** (simp-all add: validFm-def)

have $\vdash \{ \text{wp-dl } c \text{ } I \} \text{ } c \text{ } \{ I \}$ **by** (rule While.IH [OF vc])

with pre **show** $\vdash \{ \text{ConjFm } I \text{ } b \} \text{ } c \text{ } \{ I \}$

by(rule strengthen-pre)

show validFm (ImplFm (ConjFm I (NegFm b)) Q) **by**(rule IQ)

```

qed
next
case SKIP show ?case by simp
next
case (EDel i1 r i2) show ?case by simp
next
case (EGen i1 r i2) show ?case by simp
next
case (SelAss i frm) show ?case by simp
next
case (Seq c1 c2) thus ?case by (auto simp add: validFm-def)
next
case (If b c1 c2) thus ?case apply (auto intro: hoare.conseq simp add: validFm-def
lift-impl-def lift-ite-def)
apply (rule hoare.conseq) prefer 2 apply blast apply (simp add: validFm-def
lift-impl-def lift-ite-def)+
apply (rule hoare.conseq) prefer 2 apply blast by (simp add: validFm-def lift-impl-def
lift-ite-def)+
qed

```

lemma validFm-ConjFm: validFm (ConjFm a b) = (validFm a ∧ validFm b)
by (fastforce simp add: validFm-def)

lemma validFm-mp: validFm (ImplFm P Q) \Rightarrow validFm P \Rightarrow validFm Q
by (simp add: validFm-def lift-impl-def)

lemma wp-dl-mono:
validFm (ImplFm P P') \Rightarrow validFm (ImplFm (wp-dl c P) (wp-dl c P'))
apply (induction c arbitrary: P P' s)
apply (simp-all add: validFm-def lift-impl-def interp-form-bind lift-ite-def)+
apply blast
done

lemma vc-mono:
validFm (ImplFm P P') \Rightarrow validFm (ImplFm (vc c P) (vc c P'))
apply (induction c arbitrary: P P' s)
apply (simp-all add: validFm-def lift-impl-def interp-form-bind lift-ite-def)+
apply (clarify)
apply (insert wp-dl-mono)
apply (simp-all add: validFm-def lift-impl-def interp-form-bind lift-ite-def)+
apply blast
done

corollary vc-sound':
(validFm (ConjFm (vc c Q) (ImplFm P (wp-dl c Q)))) \Rightarrow $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$
by (simp only: validFm-ConjFm) (metis strengthen-pre vc-sound)

11 Explicit substitutions

11.1 Functions used in termination arguments

```

fun subst-closure-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst list  $\Rightarrow$ 
('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept where
    subst-closure-concept c [] = c
    | subst-closure-concept c (sb # sbsts) = subst-closure-concept (SubstC c sb) sbsts

fun subst-closure-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst list  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr,
'nc, 'ni) form where
    subst-closure-form fm [] = fm
    | subst-closure-form fm (sb # sbsts) = subst-closure-form (SubstFm fm sb) sbsts

fun height-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    height-concept Bottom = 1
    | height-concept Top = 1
    | height-concept (AtomC a) = 1
    | height-concept (AndC c1 c2) = Suc (max (height-concept c1) (height-concept
c2))
    | height-concept (OrC c1 c2) = Suc (max (height-concept c1) (height-concept
c2))
    | height-concept (NotC c) = Suc (height-concept c)
    | height-concept (NumRestrC nro n r c) = Suc (height-concept c)
    | height-concept (SubstC c sb) = Suc (height-concept c)

fun height-fact :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    height-fact (Inst x c) = height-concept c
    | height-fact - = 0

fun height-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    height-form FalseFm = 0
    | height-form (FactFm fct) = Suc (height-fact fct)
    | height-form (NegFm f) = Suc (height-form f)
    | height-form (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = Suc (max (height-form f1) (height-form
f2))
    | height-form (QuantifFm q f) = Suc (height-form f)
    | height-form (SubstFm f sb) = Suc (height-form f)

```

```

fun subst-height-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  subst-height-concept Bottom = 0
  | subst-height-concept Top = 0
  | subst-height-concept (AtomC a) = 0
  | subst-height-concept (AndC c1 c2) = max (subst-height-concept c1) (subst-height-concept c2)
  | subst-height-concept (OrC c1 c2) = max (subst-height-concept c1) (subst-height-concept c2)
  | subst-height-concept (NotC c) = subst-height-concept c
  | subst-height-concept (NumRestrC nro n r c) = subst-height-concept c
  | subst-height-concept (SubstC c sb) = height-concept c + subst-height-concept c

fun subst-height-fact :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  subst-height-fact (Inst x c) sbsts = subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept c sbsts)
  | subst-height-fact - sbsts = length sbsts

fun subst-height-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form  $\Rightarrow$  ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  subst-height-form FalseFm sbsts = 0
  | subst-height-form (FactFm fct) sbsts = subst-height-fact fct sbsts
  | subst-height-form (NegFm f) sbsts = subst-height-form f sbsts
  | subst-height-form (BinopFm bop f1 f2) sbsts = max (subst-height-form f1 sbsts)
    (subst-height-form f2 sbsts)
  | subst-height-form (QuantifFm q f) sbsts = subst-height-form f sbsts
  | subst-height-form (SubstFm f sb) sbsts = subst-height-form f (sb # sbsts)

lemma height-concept-positive [simp]: 0 < height-concept c
by (induct c) auto

lemma subst-height-concept-mono-closure [rule-format]:
   $\forall c1 c2. \text{subst-height-concept } c1 < \text{subst-height-concept } c2 \longrightarrow \text{height-concept } c1 \leq \text{height-concept } c2 \longrightarrow \text{subst-height-concept} (\text{subst-closure-concept } c1 \text{ sbsts}) < \text{subst-height-concept} (\text{subst-closure-concept } c2 \text{ sbsts})$ 
by (induct sbsts) simp-all

lemma length-subst-height-concept:
  length sbsts < subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (SubstC c sb) sbsts)
apply (induct sbsts)
apply clarsimp
apply clarsimp
apply (subgoal-tac subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (SubstC c sb) sbsts)
  < subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (SubstC (SubstC c sb) a)
```

```

sbsts))
apply arith
apply (simp add: subst-height-concept-mono-closure)
done

lemma subst-height-concept-positive [simp]:
  0 < subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (SubstC c sb) sbsts)
  by (insert length-subst-height-concept [of sbsts c sb]) arith

```

11.2 Moving substitutions further downwards

```

fun push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc :: 
  role-op ⇒ ('ni * 'ni) ⇒ 'ni ⇒ numres-ord ⇒ nat ⇒ 'nr ⇒ ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept

⇒ ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form where
push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RAdd (v1, v2) x Le 0 r c = FalseFm
| push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RAdd (v1, v2) x Geq 0 r c = TrueFm
| push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RAdd (v1, v2) x nro (Suc n) r c =
  (IfThenElseFm (ConjFm (ConjFm
    (FactFm (Eq True x v1))
    (FactFm (Inst v2 (SubstC c (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2)))))))
   (FactFm (AtomR False r v1 v2)))
  (FactFm (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r (SubstC c (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2))))))
  (FactFm (Inst x (NumRestrC nro (Suc n) r (SubstC c (RSubst r RAdd (v1,
v2)))))))
| push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RDiff (v1, v2) x nro n r c =
  (IfThenElseFm (ConjFm (ConjFm
    (FactFm (Eq True x v1))
    (FactFm (Inst v2 (SubstC c (RSubst r RDiff (v1, v2)))))))
   (FactFm (AtomR True r v1 v2)))
  (FactFm (Inst x (NumRestrC nro (Suc n) r (SubstC c (RSubst r RDiff (v1,
v2))))))
  (FactFm (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r (SubstC c (RSubst r RDiff (v1, v2)))))))

fun push-rsubst-concept :: 'nr ⇒ role-op ⇒ ('ni * 'ni) ⇒ 'ni ⇒ ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept
concept ⇒ ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form where
push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (AtomC a) = (FactFm (Inst x (AtomC a)))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x Top = (FactFm (Inst x Top))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x Bottom = (FactFm (Inst x Bottom))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (NotC c) = (FactFm (Inst x (NotC (SubstC c
(RSubst r rop v1v2)))))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (AndC c1 c2) =
  (FactFm (Inst x (AndC (SubstC c1 (RSubst r rop v1v2)) (SubstC c2
(RSubst r rop v1v2)))))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (OrC c1 c2) =
  (FactFm (Inst x (OrC (SubstC c1 (RSubst r rop v1v2)) (SubstC c2
(RSubst r rop v1v2)))))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (NumRestrC nro n r' c) =
  (if r = r'

```

```

then push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc rop v1v2 x nro n r c
else (FactFm (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r' (SubstC c (RSubst r rop
v1v2))))))
| push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x (SubstC c sb) = (SubstFm (FactFm (Inst x
(SubstC c sb))) (RSubst r rop v1v2)))

definition subst-AtomR-RDiff sign r x y v1 v2 ≡
(let fm = (ConjFm (DisjFm (FactFm (Eq False x v1)) (FactFm (Eq False y
v2))) (FactFm (AtomR True r x y))) in
(if sign then fm else (NegFm fm)))

definition subst-AtomR-RAdd sign r x y v1 v2 ≡
(let fm = (DisjFm (ConjFm (FactFm (Eq True x v1)) (FactFm (Eq True y
v2))) (FactFm (AtomR True r x y))) in
(if sign then fm else (NegFm fm)))

fun push-rsubst-fact :: 'nr ⇒ role-op ⇒ ('ni * 'ni) ⇒ ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact ⇒ ('nr,
'nc, 'ni) form where
push-rsubst-fact r rop v1v2 (Inst x c) = push-rsubst-concept r rop v1v2 x c
| push-rsubst-fact r rop v1v2 (AtomR sign r' x y) =
(if r = r'
then (let (v1, v2) = v1v2 in
(case rop of
RDiffr ⇒ (subst-AtomR-RDiff sign r x y v1 v2)
| RAdd ⇒ (subst-AtomR-RAdd sign r x y v1 v2)))
else FactFm (AtomR sign r' x y))
| push-rsubst-fact r rop v1v2 (Eq sign x y) = FactFm (Eq sign x y)

fun replace-var :: 'ni ⇒ 'ni ⇒ 'ni ⇒ 'ni where
replace-var v1 v2 w = (if w = v1 then v2 else w)

fun subst-vars :: ('ni * 'ni) list ⇒ 'ni ⇒ 'ni where
subst-vars [] x = x
| subst-vars ((v1, v2) # sbsts) x = subst-vars sbsts (replace-var v1 v2 x)

fun push-isubst-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept ⇒ ('ni * 'ni) list ⇒ ('nr, 'nc,
'ni) concept where
push-isubst-concept (AtomC a) sbsts = (AtomC a)
| push-isubst-concept Top sbsts = Top
| push-isubst-concept Bottom sbsts = Bottom
| push-isubst-concept (NotC c) sbsts = (NotC (push-isubst-concept c sbsts))
| push-isubst-concept (AndC c1 c2) sbsts =
(AndC (push-isubst-concept c1 sbsts) (push-isubst-concept c2 sbsts))
| push-isubst-concept (OrC c1 c2) sbsts =
(OrC (push-isubst-concept c1 sbsts) (push-isubst-concept c2 sbsts))
| push-isubst-concept (NumRestrC nro n r' c) sbsts =
(NumRestrC nro n r' (push-isubst-concept c sbsts))
| push-isubst-concept (SubstC c (RSubst r rop (x1, x2))) sbsts =

```

```

        (SubstC (push-isubst-concept c sbsts) (RSubst r rop ((subst-vars sbsts
x1), (subst-vars sbsts x2))))
    | push-isubst-concept (SubstC c (ISubst x1 x2)) sbsts = push-isubst-concept c
((x1,x2) # sbsts)

fun push-isubst-fact :: 'ni => 'ni => ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact => ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form
where
    push-isubst-fact v1 v2 (Inst x c) = FactFm (Inst (replace-var v1 v2 x) (push-isubst-concept
c [(v1,v2)]))
    | push-isubst-fact v1 v2 (AtomR sign r' x y) = FactFm (AtomR sign r' (replace-var
v1 v2 x) (replace-var v1 v2 y))
    | push-isubst-fact v1 v2 (Eq sign x y) = FactFm (Eq sign (replace-var v1 v2 x)
(replace-var v1 v2 y))

fun push-subst-fact :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact => ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst => ('nr, 'nc, 'ni)
form where
    push-subst-fact fct (RSubst r rop p) = push-rsubst-fact r rop p fct
    | push-subst-fact fct (ISubst v1 v2) = push-isubst-fact v1 v2 fct

type-synonym ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) extract-res = (('ni) * (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept) *
(('nr, 'nc, 'ni) subst)) option

fun extract-subst :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact => (('nr, 'nc, 'ni) extract-res) where
    extract-subst (Inst x (SubstC c sb)) = Some (x, c, sb)
    | extract-subst fct = None

function push-subst-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form => ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) subst list
=> ('nr, 'nc, 'ni var) form where
    push-subst-form FalseFm sbsts = FalseFm
    | push-subst-form (FactFm fct) sbsts =
        (case extract-subst fct of
            None =>
            (case sbsts of
                [] => (FactFm fct)
                | sb # sbsts' => push-subst-form (push-subst-fact fct sb) sbsts')
            | Some(x, c, sb) =>
                (case sb of
                    (RSubst r rop v1v2) => push-subst-form (FactFm (Inst x c)) ((RSubst
r rop v1v2)#sbsts)
                    | (ISubst v1 v2) => push-subst-form (FactFm (Inst x (push-isubst-concept
c [(v1, v2)])) sbsts))
                    | push-subst-form (NegFm f) sbsts = NegFm (push-subst-form f sbsts)
                    | push-subst-form (BinopFm bop f1 f2) sbsts = (BinopFm bop (push-subst-form
f1 sbsts) (push-subst-form f2 sbsts))
                    | push-subst-form (QuantifFm q f) sbsts = (QuantifFm q (push-subst-form f (map
(lift-subst 0) sbsts)))
                    | push-subst-form (SubstFm f sb) sbsts = push-subst-form f (sb#sbsts)
            )
        )
    )

```

```
by pat-completeness auto
```

```
end
```

```
theory SubstProofs imports Subst SemanticsProofs VariablesProofs
begin
```

12 Explicit substitutions (Proofs)

12.1 Termination of pushing substitutions

```
lemma push-subst-fact-decr-rsubst: extract-subst fct = None ==> sb = (RSubst r
rop v1v2) ==>
  (subst-height-form (push-subst-fact fct sb) sbsts < subst-height-fact fct (sb #
sbsts))
apply (case-tac fct)
prefer 3

apply simp
prefer 2

apply (clarsimp simp add: split-def subst-AtomR-RDiff-def subst-AtomR-RAdd-def
Let-def
split add: split-if-asm role-op.split )

apply (rename-tac x c)
apply (case-tac c)
apply (fastforce intro: subst-height-concept-mono-closure)+

apply (case-tac v1v2)
apply simp
apply (intro conjI impI)
apply (case-tac rop)
apply (simp add: IfThenElseFm-def ImplFm-def length-subst-height-concept subst-height-concept-mono-closure)
applyclarsimp
apply (rename-tac x nro n c v1 v2)
apply (case-tac n)
apply (case-tac nro) apply simp-all
apply (simp add: IfThenElseFm-def ImplFm-def length-subst-height-concept subst-height-concept-mono-closure)

done
```

```

lemma height-concept-push-isubst-concept [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall sbsts. \text{height-concept}(\text{push-isubst-concept } c \text{ } sbsts) \leq \text{height-concept } c$ 
  apply (induct c)
  apply simp-all
  apply clarsimp apply (drule-tac x=sbsts in spec)+ apply arith
  apply clarsimp apply (drule-tac x=sbsts in spec)+ apply arith
  apply clarsimp
  apply (case-tac subst)
  applyclarsimp+
  apply (rename-tac c sbsts v1 v2)
  apply (drule-tac x=((v1, v2) # sbsts) in spec)
  apply simp
  done

lemma subst-height-concept-push-isubst-concept [rule-format, simp]:
   $\forall sbsts. \text{subst-height-concept}(\text{push-isubst-concept } c \text{ } sbsts) \leq \text{subst-height-concept } c$ 
  apply (induct c)
  apply simp-all
  apply clarsimp apply (drule-tac x=sbsts in spec)+ apply arith
  apply clarsimp apply (drule-tac x=sbsts in spec)+ apply arith
  apply clarsimp
  apply (case-tac subst)
  applyclarsimp+
  apply (drule-tac x=sbsts in spec)
  apply (subgoal-tac height-concept (push-isubst-concept c sbsts)  $\leq$  height-concept c)
  apply arith apply simp

  applyclarsimp
  apply (rename-tac c sbsts v1 v2)
  apply (drule-tac x=((v1, v2) # sbsts) in spec)
  apply simp
  done

lemma push-subst-fact-decr-isubst: extract-subst fct = None  $\implies$  sb = (ISubst v1 v2)  $\implies$ 
  ( $\text{subst-height-form}(\text{push-subst-fact } fct \text{ } sb) \text{ } sbsts < \text{subst-height-fact } fct \text{ } (sb \# sbsts)$ )
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac fct)
  prefer 3

  apply simp
  prefer 2

  applyclarsimp
  apply (rename-tac x c)

```

```

apply simp
apply (rule subst-height-concept-mono-closure)
apply simp+
apply (subgoal-tac subst-height-concept (push-isubst-concept c [(v1, v2)]) ≤ subst-height-concept
c) prefer 2 apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac 0 < height-concept c) prefer 2 apply simp
apply arith
done

apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac height-concept (push-isubst-concept c [(v1, v2)]) ≤ height-concept
c) prefer 2 apply simp
apply arith
done

lemma push-subst-fact-decr: extract-subst fct = None ==>
  subst-height-form (push-subst-fact fct sb) sbsts' < subst-height-fact fct (sb #
sbsts')
apply (case-tac sb)
apply (rule push-subst-fact-decr-rsubst) apply assumption+
apply (rule push-subst-fact-decr-isubst) apply assumption+
done

lemma extract-subst-Some:
  (extract-subst fct = Some (x, c, sb)) = (fct = (Inst x (SubstC c sb)))
apply (case-tac fct)
apply (case-tac concept)
apply simp-all
done

lemma push-subst-extract-some-SubstC: extract-subst fct = Some(x, c, sb) ==>
  subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (SubstC c sb) sbsts) = subst-height-fact
fct sbsts
by (clarsimp simp add: extract-subst-Some)

lemma height-fact-extract-some-decr: extract-subst fct = Some(x, c, sb) ==>
  height-concept c < (height-fact fct)
by (clarsimp simp add: extract-subst-Some)

lemma push-subst-extract-some-isubst: extract-subst fct = Some(x, c, sb) ==>
  subst-height-concept (subst-closure-concept (push-isubst-concept c vsbsts) sbsts)
< subst-height-fact fct sbsts
apply (clarsimp simp add: extract-subst-Some)
apply (rule subst-height-concept-mono-closure)
apply simp-all
apply (insert subst-height-concept-push-isubst-concept [of c vsbsts])
apply (subgoal-tac 0 < height-concept c) prefer 2 apply simp apply arith

apply (insert height-concept-push-isubst-concept [of c vsbsts])

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac 0 < height-concept c) prefer 2 apply simp apply arith
done

lemma subst-height-concept-under-closure [rule-format]:  $\forall c1\ c2.$ 
 $\text{subst-height-concept } c1 = \text{subst-height-concept } c2 \longrightarrow \text{height-concept } c1 = \text{height-concept }$ 
 $c2 \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{subst-height-concept} (\text{subst-closure-concept } c1 \text{ sbsts}) = \text{subst-height-concept} (\text{subst-closure-concept }$ 
 $c2 \text{ sbsts})$ 
by (induct sbsts) simp-all

lemma subst-height-concept-same-length [rule-format]:
 $\text{length } \text{sbsts1} = \text{length } \text{sbsts2} \implies$ 
 $\forall c. (\text{subst-height-concept} (\text{subst-closure-concept } c \text{ sbsts1}) = \text{subst-height-concept}$ 
 $(\text{subst-closure-concept } c \text{ sbsts2}))$ 
apply (induct rule:list-induct2)
apply simp
apply (fastforce intro: subst-height-concept-under-closure)
done

lemma subst-height-fact-same-length:
 $\text{length } \text{sbsts1} = \text{length } \text{sbsts2} \implies$ 
 $\text{subst-height-fact } f \text{ sbsts1} = \text{subst-height-fact } f \text{ sbsts2}$ 
apply (induct f)
apply simp-all
apply (erule subst-height-concept-same-length)
done

lemma subst-height-form-same-length [rule-format]:
 $\forall \text{sbsts1 } \text{sbsts2}. \text{length } \text{sbsts1} = \text{length } \text{sbsts2} \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{subst-height-form } f \text{ sbsts1} = \text{subst-height-form } f \text{ sbsts2}$ 
apply (induct f)
apply clarsimp+
apply (erule subst-height-fact-same-length)
apply clarify apply (simp (no-asm)) apply fast
apply clarify apply (drule spec) + apply (drule mp, assumption) + apply simp
apply clarify apply (drule spec) + apply (drule mp, assumption) + apply simp
apply clarify
apply (simp (no-asm))
apply (drule spec) + apply (drule mp) prefer 2 apply assumption apply simp
done

termination push-subst-form
apply (relation measures [ $(\lambda p. (\text{subst-height-form} (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p))), (\lambda p. (\text{height-form} (\text{fst } p)))]$ ])
apply simp-all

apply (simp add: push-subst-fact-decr)

```

```

apply (clarsimp simp add: push-subst-extract-some-SubstC) apply (clarsimp simp
only: height-fact-extract-some-decr)

apply (clarsimp simp add: push-subst-extract-some-SubstC) apply (erule push-subst-extract-some-isubst)

```

```

apply arith
apply arith
apply (rule disjI2) apply (rule subst-height-form-same-length) apply simp
done

```

12.2 Structural correctness of pushing substitutions

```

fun subst-hidden-in-concept :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) concept  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  subst-hidden-in-concept (SubstC c sb) = False
  | subst-hidden-in-concept - = True

fun subst-hidden-in-fact :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) fact  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  subst-hidden-in-fact (Inst x c) = subst-hidden-in-concept c
  | subst-hidden-in-fact (AtomR sign r x y) = True
  | subst-hidden-in-fact (Eq sign x y) = True

fun subst-hidden-in-form :: ('nr, 'nc, 'ni) form  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  subst-hidden-in-form FalseFm = True
  | subst-hidden-in-form (FactFm fct) = subst-hidden-in-fact fct
  | subst-hidden-in-form (NegFm f) = subst-hidden-in-form f
  | subst-hidden-in-form (BinopFm bop f1 f2) = (subst-hidden-in-form f1  $\wedge$  subst-hidden-in-form f2)
  | subst-hidden-in-form (QuantifFm q f) = subst-hidden-in-form f
  | subst-hidden-in-form (SubstFm f sb) = False

lemma extract-subst-subst-hidden-in-fact:
  extract-subst fct = None  $\implies$  subst-hidden-in-fact fct
  apply (case-tac fct)
  apply (case-tac concept)
  apply clarsimp+
  done

lemma subst-hidden-in-form-push-subst-form [simp]:
  subst-hidden-in-form (push-subst-form fm sbsts)
  apply (induct fm sbsts rule: push-subst-form.induct)
  apply simp-all
  apply (simp split add: option.split list.split subst.split)
  apply (intro conjI impI allI)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: extract-subst-subst-hidden-in-fact)+
  done

```

12.3 Semantics-preservation of pushing substitutions

```

lemma quantif-free-push-isubst-fact [simp]:
  quantif-free (push-isubst-fact v1 v2 fct)
by (case-tac fct) auto

lemma quantif-free-push-rsubst-fact [simp]:
  quantif-free (push-rsubst-fact r rop p fct)
apply (case-tac fct)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac concept)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac rop)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac p, simp-all add: IfThenElseFm-def ImplFm-def)
apply (case-tac p, simp-all add: IfThenElseFm-def ImplFm-def)
apply clar simp
apply (rename-tac x nro n c v1 v2)
apply (case-tac n) apply simp-all
apply (case-tac nro) apply simp-all
apply (simp-all add: IfThenElseFm-def ImplFm-def)
apply (clar simp simp add: split-def subst-AtomR-RDiff-def Let-def subst-AtomR-RAdd-def
split add: role-op.split)+  

done

lemma quantif-free-push-subst-fact [simp]: quantif-free (push-subst-fact fct sb)
by (case-tac sb) simp-all

definition var-pair-to-substs vps = (map ( $\lambda$  (v1, v2). ISubst v1 v2) vps)

lemma var-pair-to-substs-nil [simp]: var-pair-to-substs [] = []
by (simp add: var-pair-to-substs-def)

lemma var-pair-to-substs-cons [simp]:
var-pair-to-substs ((v1, v2)#sbsts) = (ISubst v1 v2) # (var-pair-to-substs sbsts)
by (simp add: var-pair-to-substs-def)

lemma interp-form-SubstFm-FactFm-Rel-AtomR-RDiff:
  interp-fact (AtomR sign r x y) (interp-subst (RSubst r RDiff (v1, v2)) i) =
    interp-form (subst-AtomR-RDiff sign r x y v1 v2) i
by (simp add: subst-AtomR-RDiff-def interp-r-modif-def) fast

lemma interp-form-SubstFm-FactFm-Rel-AtomR-RAdd:
  interp-fact (AtomR sign r x y) (interp-subst (RSubst r RAdd (v1, v2)) i) =
    interp-form (subst-AtomR-RAdd sign r x y v1 v2) i
by (simp add: subst-AtomR-RAdd-def interp-r-modif-def)

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-fact-AtomR:
  interp-form (push-rsubst-fact r rop (v1, v2) (AtomR sign r' x1 x2)) i =

```

```

interp-fact (AtomR sign r' x1 x2) (interp-subst (RSubst r rop (v1, v2)) i)
apply (case-tac r = r')
apply (case-tac rop)
apply (simp only: interp-form-SubstFm-FactFm-Rel-AtomR-RDiff) apply simp
apply (simp only: interp-form-SubstFm-FactFm-Rel-AtomR-RAdd) apply simp
apply simp
done

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc-RAdd:
  interp-fact (Inst x (NumRestrC nro (Suc n) r c)) (interp-subst (RSubst r RAdd
(v1, v2)) i) =
  interp-form (push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RAdd (v1, v2) x nro (Suc
n) r c) i
apply (simp only: push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc.simps)

apply (simp only: interp-form.simps interp-form-IfThenElseFm lift-ite-def)
apply (split split-if)
apply (intro impI conjI)
apply (clarsimp simp add: interp-numres-ord-Eq-RAdd)
apply (simp only: interp-form.simps de-Morgan-conj)
apply (elim disjE)
apply (clarsimp simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert interp-r-modif-def)

apply (clarsimp simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert interp-r-modif-def)
apply (simp add: insert-absorb)
done

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc-RDiff:
  interp-fact (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r c)) (interp-subst (RSubst r RDiff (v1,
v2)) i) =
  interp-form (push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc RDiff (v1, v2) x nro n r c) i
apply (simp only: push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc.simps)
apply (simp only: interp-form.simps interp-form-IfThenElseFm lift-ite-def)
apply (split split-if)
apply (intro impI conjI)
apply (clarsimp simp add: interp-numres-ord-Eq-RDiff)
apply (simp only: interp-form.simps de-Morgan-conj)
apply (elim disjE)
apply (clarsimp simp add: rel-restrict-diff rel-restrict-insert interp-r-modif-def)+
done

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc:
  interp-fact (Inst x (NumRestrC nro n r c)) (interp-subst (RSubst r rop (v1, v2))
i) =
  interp-form (push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc rop (v1, v2) x nro n r c) i
apply (case-tac rop)
apply (simp only: interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc-RDiff)
apply (case-tac n)
apply (case-tac nro)

```

```

apply simp
apply simp
apply (simp only: interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc-RAdd)
done

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-fact-Inst:
  interp-fact (Inst x c) (interp-subst (RSubst r rop (v1, v2)) i) =
  interp-form (push-rsubst-concept r rop (v1, v2) x c) i
proof (cases c)
  case (NumRestrC numres-ord nat nr concept) thus ?thesis
    apply (simp only: push-rsubst-concept.simps split add: split-if)
    apply (intro conjI impI)
    apply (simp only: interp-form-push-rsubst-concept-numrestrc)
    by simp
qed simp-all

lemma interp-form-push-rsubst-fact:
  interp-form (push-rsubst-fact r rop v1v2 fct) i = interp-fact fct (interp-subst
(RSubst r rop v1v2) i)
apply (case-tac v1v2)
apply (case-tac fct)
apply (simp only: push-rsubst-fact.simps interp-form-push-rsubst-fact-Inst)
apply (simp only: interp-form-push-rsubst-fact-AtomR)
apply simp
done

lemma interp-subst-RSubst-ISubst:
  interp-subst (RSubst nr role-op (x1, x2)) (interp-subst (ISubst v1 v2) i) =
  interp-subst (ISubst v1 v2) (interp-subst (RSubst nr role-op (replace-var v1 v2
x1, replace-var v1 v2 x2)) i)
apply (simp add: interp-i-modif-def interp-r-modif-def)
apply (cases i)
apply (case-tac role-op, auto) +
done

lemma interp-c-interp-subst-closure-var-pair-to-substs [simp]:
  interp-c (interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-substs sbsts) i) a = interp-c i a
by (induct sbsts) (simp add: var-pair-to-substs-def split-def) +

lemma interp-r-interp-subst-closure-var-pair-to-substs [simp]:
  (interp-r (interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-substs sbsts) i) r) = interp-r i r
by (induct sbsts) (simp add: var-pair-to-substs-def split-def) +

lemma interp-subst-RSubst [rule-format]:  $\forall v1 v2 i.$ 
  (interp-subst (RSubst nr role-op (v1, v2)) (interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-substs
sbsts) i)) =

```

```

(interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-sbsts sbsts)
  (interp-subst (RSubst nr role-op (subst-vars sbsts v1, subst-vars sbsts
v2)) i))
apply (induct sbsts)
apply simp

apply (rename-tac a sbsts)
apply (rule allI)+
apply (case-tac a)
apply (simp del: interp-subst.simps add: interp-subst-RSubst-ISubst)
done

lemma interp-concept-push-isubst-concept:
fixes c
shows (interp-concept (push-isubst-concept c sbsts) i =
interp-concept c (interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-sbsts sbsts) i))
proof (induct c arbitrary: sbsts i)
  case (SubstC c subst) show ?case
    proof (simp (no-asm-use), induct subst)
      case (ISubst ni1 ni2)
        have interp-concept (push-isubst-concept (SubstC c (ISubst ni1 ni2)) sbsts) i
        =
          interp-concept (push-isubst-concept c ((ni1, ni2) # sbsts)) i by simp
        also have ... = interp-concept c (interp-subst-closure (var-pair-to-sbsts ((ni1,
ni2) # sbsts)) i)
          by (simp add: SubstC.hyps)
        finally show ?case by (simp add: var-pair-to-sbsts-def del: interp-subst.simps)
    next
      case (RSubst nr role-op prod) show ?case
        apply (case-tac prod)
        apply (simp add: SubstC.hyps del: interp-subst.simps)
        by (simp only: interp-subst-RSubst)
    qed
qed simp-all

lemma interp-form-push-isubst-fact:
  interp-form (push-isubst-fact v1 v2 fct) i = interp-fact fct (interp-subst (ISubst
v1 v2) i)
apply (case-tac fct)
apply (simp add: interp-concept-push-isubst-concept)
apply simp+
done

lemma interp-form-push-sbsts-fact:
  interp-form (push-sbsts-fact fct sb) i = interp-fact fct (interp-subst sb i)
apply (case-tac sb)
apply (simp del: interp-subst.simps add: interp-form-push-rsubst-fact)

```

```

apply (simp del: interp-subst.simps add: interp-form-push-isubst-fact)
done

lemma interp-form-push-subst-form-sbsts [rule-format]:
  shows interp-form (push-subst-form fm sbsts) i = interp-form fm (interp-subst-closure
  sbsts i)
proof (induction fm sbsts arbitrary: i rule: push-subst-form.induct)
  case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case 3 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (4 bop f1 f2 sbsts i) thus ?case
    by (simp, case-tac bop, simp+)
next
  case (5 q f sbsts i) thus ?case
    by (case-tac q, (simp add: interp-subst-closure-lift-subst)+)
next
  case (6 f sb sbsts i) thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (2 fct sbsts i) show ?case
  proof (cases extract-subst fct)
    case None
    hence esn: extract-subst fct = None by simp
    thus ?thesis
      proof (cases sbsts)
        case Nil thus ?thesis by (simp add: esn)
      next
        case (Cons sb sbsts')
        hence sbsts = sb # sbsts' by simp
        moreover hence interp-form (push-subst-form (push-subst-fact fct sb) sbsts')
          i =
            interp-form (push-subst-fact fct sb) (interp-subst-closure sbsts' i)
            by (simp add: 2.IH esn )
        ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: esn interp-form-push-subst-fact)
      qed
    next
    case (Some a)
    hence esn0: extract-subst fct = Some a by simp
    thus ?thesis
      proof (cases a) case (fields x c sb)
        hence esn: extract-subst fct = Some(x, c, sb) by (simp add: esn0)
        moreover from esn have fctInstSubstC: (fct = (Inst x (SubstC c sb))) by
          (simp add: extract-subst-Some)
        thus ?thesis
      proof (cases sb)
        case (RSubst nr role-op prod)
        hence sbRSubst: sb = RSubst nr role-op prod by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

moreover have IH-RSubst:
  interp-form (push-subst-form (FactFm (Inst x c)) (RSubst nr role-op prod # sbsts)) i =
    interp-form (FactFm (Inst x c)) (interp-subst-closure (RSubst nr role-op prod # sbsts) i)
  by (simp add: 2.IH sbRSubst esn del: interp-form.simps push-subst-form.simps)
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp only: fctInstSubstC)
    apply (subst push-subst-form.simps)
    apply (simp add: esn sbRSubst IH-RSubst del: interp-subst-closure.simps
      push-subst-form.simps interp-fact.simps)
    by (simp del: push-subst-form.simps)
  next
  case (ISubst ni1 ni2)
  hence sbISubst: sb = ISubst ni1 ni2 by simp
  moreover have IH-ISubst:
    interp-form (push-subst-form (FactFm (Inst x (push-isubst-concept c [(ni1, ni2]))))) sbsts i =
      interp-form (FactFm (Inst x (push-isubst-concept c [(ni1, ni2)]))) (interp-subst-closure sbsts i)
    by (simp add: 2.IH sbISubst esn del: interp-form.simps push-subst-form.simps)
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp only: fctInstSubstC)
      apply (subst push-subst-form.simps)
      apply (simp add: esn sbISubst IH-ISubst del: interp-subst-closure.simps
        push-subst-form.simps)
      by (simp add: interp-concept-push-isubst-concept)
    qed
    qed
    qed
  qed

lemma interp-form-push-subst-form:
  interp-form (push-subst-form fm []) i = interp-form fm i
  by (simp add: interp-form-push-subst-form-sbsts)

end

```

References

- [1] M. Chaabani, R. Echahed, and M. Strecker. Logical foundations for reasoning about transformations of knowledge bases. Motivation and informal outline of proof development, see http://www.irit.fr/~Martin.Strecker/Publications/dl_transfo2013.pdf, June 2013.
- [2] M. Chaabani, M. Mezghiche, and M. Strecker. Vérification d'une

méthode de preuve pour la logique de description \mathcal{ALC} . In Y. Ait-Ameur, editor, *Proc. 10ème Journées Approches Formelles dans l’Assistance au Développement de Logiciels (AFADL)*, pages 149–163, June 2010.